REVIEW

Cell Regeneration Cell

Open Access



Modelling in vitro gametogenesis using induced pluripotent stem cells: a review

Maria Victoria Romualdez-Tan^{1,2*}

Abstract

In vitro gametogenesis (IVG) has been a topic of great interest in recent years not only because it allows for further exploration of mechanisms of germ cell development, but also because of its prospect for innovative medical applications especially for the treatment of infertility. Elucidation of the mechanisms underlying gamete development in vivo has inspired scientists to attempt to recapitulate the entire process of gametogenesis in vitro. While earlier studies have established IVG methods largely using pluripotent stem cells of embryonic origin, the scarcity of sources for these cells and the ethical issues involved in their use are serious limitations to the progress of IVG research especially in humans. However, with the emergence of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) due to the revolutionary discovery of dedifferentiation and reprogramming factors, IVG research has progressed remarkably in the last decade. This paper extensively reviews developments in IVG using iPSCs. First, the paper presents key concepts from groundwork studies on IVG including earlier researches demonstrating that IVG methods using embryonic stem cells (ESCs) also apply when using iPSCs. Techniques for the derivation of iPSCs are briefly discussed, highlighting the importance of generating transgene-free iPSCs with a high capacity for germline transmission to improve efficacy when used for IVG. The main part of the paper discusses recent advances in IVG research using iPSCs in various stages of gametogenesis. In addition, current clinical applications of IVG are presented, and potential future applications are discussed. Although IVG is still faced with many challenges in terms of technical issues, as well as efficacy and safety, novel IVG methodologies are emerging, and IVG using iPSCs may usher in the next era of reproductive medicine sooner than expected. This raises both ethical and social concerns and calls for the scientific community to cautiously develop IVG technology to ensure it is not only efficacious but also safe and adheres to social and ethical norms.

Keywords In-vitro gametogenesis, Artificial gametes, Germ cell derivation, Primordial germ cell-like cells, Induced pluripotent stem cells

Background

In vivo gametogenesis is a complex process, and an extensive understanding of its molecular mechanisms is crucial for understanding reproductive health and associated diseases, such as infertility. Unfortunately,

¹ Present Address: Repro Optima Center for Reproductive Health, Inc., Ground Floor JRDC Bldg. Osmena Blvd. Capitol Site, Cebu City 6000, Philippines studies on germ cells raise several ethical issues (Aoi 2016). There is also the problem of scarcity of source materials for research, which has hindered the elucidation of mechanisms of germ cell development (Hong et al. 2021). These concerns have driven research in the direction of in vitro reconstitution of gametes, also known as in vitro gametogenesis (IVG), and the use of nonembryonic sources of cells and tissues for such research. The creation of properly functioning gametes in vitro not only allows further exploration of mechanisms of germ cell development, it also offers many possibilities in reproductive medicine, particularly for disease modelling and also for the potential



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.gr/jublicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence:

Maria Victoria Romualdez-Tan

marivic.tan@repro-optima.com; bingmd@yahoo.com

² Cebu Doctors University Hospital, Cebu City, Philippines

of generating healthy offspring from individuals who cannot produce their own gametes in vivo (Saitou and Hayashi 2021).

Earlier studies on IVG involved the use of pluripotent stem cells (PSCs) of embryonic origin. However, this approach does not obviate the problem of scarcity and the ethical issue of using embryonic cells. A novel approach that overcomes these concerns is the use of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) rather than PSCs of embryonic origin (Nishikawa et al. 2008). The first iPSCs were derived from skin fibroblasts, but because iPSCs can be derived from any differentiated somatic cell, other abundant sources such as peripheral blood cells, keratinocytes, and even cells in the urine can be reprogrammed into iPSCs (Liu et al. 2020; Singh et al. 2015).

Even while using iPSCs, many of the studies on IVG use mouse models. The molecular mechanisms of gamete development, however, differ among species (Stirparo et al. 2018). For instance, Sox17, a key regulator of human primordial germ cells (hPGCs), is only transiently expressed in mouse primordial germ cells (mPGCs) (Irie et al. 2015). Another difference is in the Sox2 expression which is downregulated in hPGCs but regained in mPGCs (Sasaki et al. 2015). The activity of Blimp1 also varies between mPGCs and hPGCs where it suppresses the somatic mesodermal program in the former while it inhibits the program for neuron development in the latter (Sasaki et al. 2015). Despite these differences, murine models are extremely useful given their genetic malleability and the ability to observe the development of engineered cells in vivo with markers. Moreover, several studies on IVG have shown that the processes involved in the creation of in vitro derived human gametes are in many ways similar to the processes involved in the generation of in vitro induced mouse gametes using iPSCs (Luo and Yu 2022).

This paper extensively reviews IVG using iPSCs in mouse and human models. After laying down key concepts from foundation studies on IVG, the paper briefly discusses techniques for deriving iPSCs and recent strategies employed to improve its efficacy when used for IVG. The main portion of this paper walks the readers through IVG research using iPSCs and the methodologies used to generate male and female gametes in every stage of gamete development. In doing so, this review provides a roadmap to understanding the present status of IVG research in mice and humans, and a perspective on its current and potential clinical applications, the challenges it is faced with, and the direction towards which IVG should be taken.

In vitro gametogenesis: key concepts and foundation studies

Several studies have aimed to generate gametes in vitro from PSCs in both mice and humans (Daley 2007; Saitou and Yamaji 2010). In mice, two types of in vivo pluripotent cells give rise to two distinct types of pluripotent stem cells in vitro. Specifically, the ICM of preimplantation blastocysts at E3.5-4.5 gives rise to embryonic stem cells (ESCs), which are in the naïve or ground state and can contribute to all lineages, including chimaeras and germ cells, while the epiblasts of post-implantation blastocysts at E5.5-6.5 give rise to epiblast stem cells (EpiSCs), which are in the primed state with a biased differentiation potential and are thus recalcitrant to germ cell differentiation and chimaera formation (Brons et al. 2007; Evans and Kaufman 1981; Hayashi and Surani 2009; Tesar et al. 2007). ESCs and EpiSCs also have distinct genetic and epigenetic profiles, cytokine dependencies, and morphologies (Hayashi et al. 2011). Naïve mESCs express naïve pluripotency genes, such as Klf2/4/5, Tfap2C, Esrrb, Tbx3, and Zfp42, and the core pluripotency genes (Oct4, Nanog, and Sox2). Primed mEpiSCs, on the other hand, express genes encoding primed transcription factors, such as Oct6, Otx2, Sox2, Bex1 and Tead2, as well as the core pluripotency genes (Hackett and Surani 2014).

In early attempts at IVG, ESCs were used to produce primordial germ cells (PGCs) using embryoid bodies that spontaneously differentiated under undefined conditions (Geijsen et al. 2004; Hübner et al. 2003; Toyooka et al. 2003). However, the efficiency of PGC formation was low, even when culture media had been added BMP4, a molecule that signals proximal epiblast cells to acquire potential competence to become PGCs (Toyooka et al. 2003). Subsequent attempts used EpiSCs to produce PGC-like cells (PGCLCs) in vitro because EpiSCs were thought to be the in vitro equivalent of the epiblast. The results of these studies, however, showed that less than 1.5% of Blimp1-positive cells also expressed the PGC-specific marker Stella (Hayashi and Surani 2009). This study showed that EpiSCs are insufficient to generate PGCLCs. Moreover, in mice, neither of the pluripotency states has PGC competence because competence is conferred only temporarily during the transition from the naïve to the primed state (Hayashi and Surani 2009; Ying et al. 2003). Human ESCs (hESCs) are more similar to mouse EpiSCs and are in a primed state of pluripotency, which exemplifies the difficulty of achieving cells in a naïve state of pluripotency from nonrodent species (Nichols et al. 2009).

Because earlier experiments that attempted to create PGCs through embryoid body (EB) formation using random differentiation strategies resulted in very inefficient and inconsistent PGC specification (only approximately 0.5–3.6% per EB), a direct induction method was deemed necessary for PGC specification in vitro (Ohinata et al. 2009). In 2009, Ohinata et al. became the first to establish such conditions for mouse epiblast cells. Epiblasts of the pregastrula were cultured in BMP4/8b, stem cell factor (SCF), epidermal growth factor (EGF), and leukaemia inhibitory factor (LIF) for the induction of Blimp1 and Prdm14 expression. The resultant epiblast-derived Blimp1-mVenus- and Stella-ECFP-positive PGC-like cells (epiPGCs) had genetic and epigenetic signatures consistent with those of in vivo PGCs. Upon transplantation into neonatal testes, these epiPGCs developed into mature spermatozoa capable of fertilizing oocytes and producing healthy offspring (Ohinata et al. 2009). This study laid the groundwork for the signal interactions needed for PGC specification from pluripotent stem cells and showed that the direct in vivo precursor of PGCs is the epiblast. This prompted other researchers to use PSCs to produce PGC-like cells (PGCLCs) in vitro, including EpiSCs, since they retain attributes of the original epiblast and can potentially give rise to germ cell-like cells in vitro (Hayashi and Surani 2009; Tesar et al. 2007).

In an experiment using EpiSCs to generate in vitro gametes conducted in 2009, Hayashi and Surani showed that only a small population of EpiSCs express *Blimp1* under self-renewing conditions, and only a minority of these cells are *Stella*-positive. Moreover, only approximately 1.5% of these *Blimp1* expressing, *Stella*-positive cells emerge from EpiSCs even when cultured in BMP4 (Hayashi and Surani 2009). This low frequency of PGC induction from EpiSCs demonstrates that EpiSCs acquire properties that prevent the efficient derivation of PGCs in culture. This finding is in agreement with Ohinata's observation that the competence of the epiblast to become PGCs is markedly reduced after approximately E6.25 (Ohinata et al. 2009).

It became evident that to increase the efficiency of PGC specification for PSCs, they should be maintained in a population that is homogeneously germline competent (Saitou and Hayashi 2021). Ying et al. (2008) advocated culturing PSCs in MAPK and GSK (referred to as 2i) and LIF, or 2i+LIF, to maintain them as germline competent *naïve* PSCs, a condition called the ground state. Based on the gene expression profile, the PSC ground state is equivalent to that of the E4.5 preimplantation epiblast (Marks et al. 2012).

In 2011, Hayashi et al. were the first to describe the stepwise induction of mPSCs to PGCLCs. They obtained *Blimp1-mVenus-* and *Stella-ECFP* (BVSC)-positive ESCs from E3.5b mouse blastocysts and maintained these cells in the ground state using 2i+LIF feeder-free culture. These ESCs were then stimulated with ActA, bFGF, and

1% KSR for 2 days to produce what they called epiblastlike cells (EpiLCs). Based on an analysis of the expression of key genes in day 2 EpiLCs, they demonstrated that these cells had properties that corresponded to those of E5.75 pre-gastrulating epiblasts, thus suggesting that they were germline competent precursors capable of differentiation into PGCLCs (Hayashi et al. 2011). These 2d EpiLCs are therefore intermediate precursors for PGC formation and likewise capable of being induced into other cell lineages that arise from pre-gastrulating epiblasts. Further on in the experiment, Hayashi et al. demonstrated that culturing these EpiLCs in the same conditions described by Ohinata et al. in 2009, i.e., using BMP4, BMP8b, EGF, SCF and LIF, induced these EpiLCs to become PGCLCs (Hayashi et al. 2011; Ohinata et al. 2009). After transplantation into germ cell-deficient W/ W^{ν} mice, these PGCLCs demonstrated proper spermatogenesis in the host testes with the resultant sperm capable of fertilizing an oocyte after ICSI, eventually producing healthy offspring. Although teratomas were detected in some of the host testes, sorting by SSEA1 and Integrin-β3 was shown to produce a purer PGCLC population with no significant contamination of teratogenic cells (Hayashi et al. 2011).

In the same study, Hayashi et al. (2011) also used induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) to explore whether the same germ cell specification pathway would induce iPSCs to become PGCLCs. Further studies using three iPSC lines from mice revealed that although all lines initially bore Nanog-Egfp (NG) transgenes and expressed NG in the ground state, the three lines exhibited different SSEA1 and Integrinß-3 FACS sorting patterns at day 6. Only one iPSC line (20D17) was very similar to the ESC lines. Likewise, only this 20D17 line exhibited proper spermatogenesis after being transplanted into W/ W^{ν} mouse testes. These findings show that despite iPSCs exhibiting different induction patterns depending on the iPSC line, they can nevertheless be induced into PGCLCs that function properly (Hayashi et al. 2011). Elucidation of the properties of the 20D17 line by Okita et al. in 2008 revealed that this iPSC cell line was derived by stable retroviral transduction of *c-Myc*, while the other two lines were without, or only transiently with, *c-Myc* (Nakagawa et al. 2008; Okita et al. 2007). Therefore, the efficiency of germline induction of iPSCs depends largely on the original properties of the iPSC lines, particularly regarding the incorporation of certain crucial transgenes (Hayashi et al. 2011).

The capacity to generate properly functioning PGCLCs from iPSCs was a breakthrough in the study of IVG. Many of the studies since those by Hayashi's group in 2011 have used iPSCs, and approaches that are effective for ESCs can universally be applied to iPSCs.

Induced pluripotent stem cells for in vitro gametogenesis

The emergence of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) has presented a promising alternative to cells of embryonic origin, making it possible to elucidate aspects of germ cell biology that have been unexplored due to material limitations and ethical concerns (Hayashi et al. 2011). As iPSCs are derived from differentiated somatic cells found in samples such as blood, skin and urine, the sources of iPSCs are abundant and easy to obtain via non-invasive methods (Liu et al. 2020).

The generation of iPSCs from mouse fibroblasts was first described by Yamanaka and colleagues in 2006 (Takahashi and Yamanaka 2006). A year later, the same group reported the generation of human iPSCs (hiPSCs) from fibroblasts (Takahashi et al. 2007). Traditionally, iPSCs were created using viral vectors, specifically retroviruses. However, this created iPSCs with inadequate expression of native pluripotency genes (Sridharan et al. 2009). Lentiviral vectors have the best reprogramming efficiency, between 0.1% and 1% (Stadtfield et al. 2010), but nonviral integrative systems for nuclear reprogramming have also been used (Lee et al. 2017; Okita et al. 2007). This strategy, which ensures safety for therapeutics, involves the use of two plasmids (Okita et al. 2007), one encoding *c-Myc* and the other encoding the four crucial reprogramming factors Oct4, Sox2, c-Myc, and Klf4 (together, these four factors are often referred to as OSKM). Although OSKM have been the four most popular reprogramming factors, iPSCs have also been generated using the expression of Oct-4, Sox2, Nanog, and Lin28 (Yu et al. 2014). These systems, however, showed a risk of integration and had low reprogramming efficiency (Okita et al. 2007). Nonintegrative nonviral reprogramming systems have also been employed using self-replicating vectors and cytoplasmic RNA. This has, however, a lower reprogramming efficiency than the system using lentiviral vectors (Lee et al. 2017). More recently, successful reprogramming of somatic cells using microRNAs has been investigated and showed improved efficiency (Fig. 1). In this approach, c-Myc is substituted with miR-295, miR-294, and miR-291-3p to create uniform populations of hiPSCs (Lee et al. 2017). This indicates that a pluripotent ground state can be achieved through the activation of different transcription factors and/or miR-NAs (Yu et al. 2014).

To study germ cell derivation using iPSCs, it is crucial that the iPSCs used have highly efficient germline transmission (Okita et al. 2007). Unfortunately, the newer and safer nonviral methods of introducing reprogramming factors into somatic cells to dedifferentiate them into transgene-free iPSCs could reduce their capacity



Fig. 1 Key methods for introducing reprogramming factors. Whereas integrating viral systems (1) were the first to be used, it incorporated viral genetic material that caused teratoma. Newer methods (2–4) avoid this and significantly improves the safety and efficacy of iPSCs especially for clinical applications. (Modified from Liu et al. 2020)

to generate a functional germ line (Wu et al. 2014). In 2014, Capecchi's group reported the use of optimally congregated reprogramming factors and positive/negative selection factors within single plasmids functioning as nonintegrating but stably transmissible episomes to produce germ-line competent iPSCs. To avoid the use of multiple episomes, they added the genes *LIN28, neo, HSVtk, NANOG, NR5A2* and the microRNA 302/367 gene cluster to the classic OSKM reprogramming factors to produce the pMaster12 episome vector (Sui et al. 2014). The iPSCs generated by the pMaster12 episome are transgene-free and, when cultured in 2i medium, resemble high-quality ESCs in their capacity to generate germ-line chimaeras (Wu et al. 2014).

The generation of transgene-free iPSCs with high capacity for germline transmission is crucial for IVG studies, as it could preclude the need to utilize embry-onic-derived stem cells in those studies, thus overcoming the difficulties associated with their use.

In vitro gametogenesis using iPSCs PGCLC derivation

As previously mentioned, in 2011, Hayashi et al. first explored the derivation of PGCLCs using murine iPSC lines (Hayashi et al. 2011). Soon after, Irie et al. recommended culture conditions that can be used to efficiently derive hPGCLCs from hiPSCs (Irie et al. 2015). To maintain hiPSCs in a near-ground state of pluripotency, they preconditioned the cells in 4 kinds of inhibitors (4i), including a MEK inhibitor (PD0325901), a JNK inhibitor (SP600125), a p38 MAPK inhibitor (SB203580) and a GSK3 inhibitor (CHIR99021). Then, medium containing bFGF/TGF β was added to the culture for 2 days, and finally, the hiPSCs were placed in a suspension culture containing BMP2 or 4, SCF, EGF and LIF. This approach induced the hiPSCs into hPGCLCs that were similar to hPGCs in terms of epigenetic patterns and genetic expression. Further, the results suggested that the TF for endoderm specification, SOX17, may be crucial for hPG-CLC specification, as very early expression (on day 1 of suspension culture) together with BLIMP1 was noted. In association with SOX17, BLIMP1 not only suppresses somatic differentiation by itself but also promotes germ cell specification (Irie et al. 2015).

The second group, Sasaki et al. (2015), argued that because hiPSCs preconditioned in 4i medium did not consistently exhibit *naïve* pluripotency markers, these hiPSCs were not truly in a ground state of pluripotency but instead represented a different cell type, incipient mesoderm-like cells (iMeLCs), as an intermediary step from hiPSCs to hPGLCs. The hiPSCs were first activated using a GSK3 inhibitor (CHIR99021) and activin A to induce iMeLCs that expressed pluripotency genes as well as genes for mesoderm development (EOMES, T/brachyury, MIXL1 and SP5). These cells were then placed in suspension culture using BMP4, EGF, SCF and LIF to convert the iMeLCs into BVSC-positive hPGCLCs that expressed Blimp1, Oct4, Tfap2c, Sox17 and Nanog, which are all early germ cell markers in humans. Gene Ontology analysis after RNA-seq showed that Sox17 and Blimp1 are crucial regulators of hPGCLC specification (Sasaki et al. 2015).

The addition of either activin A or vitamin C to the medium also improves the induction of hPGCLCs from hESCs/hiPSCs (Li et al. 2019b; Mishra et al. 2021). Vitamin C causes epigenetic changes by increasing the expression of TET (ten-eleven translocation), thereby enhancing germ cell differentiation (Li et al. 2019b). Activin A, on the other hand, is an established inducer of oogenesis in the foetus and after birth and is thus crucial for germ cell development (Wang et al. 2019).

Derivation of spermatogonia and oogonia Differentiation of spermatogonia

Earlier studies attempted to derive spermatogonia from PGCLCs by injecting them into neonatal testes. However, the natural niche of PGCs is the epiblast, hindgut and gonadal ridges of the prenatal fetus. Thus, the postnatal testis is not a suitable site for transplantation of PGCLCs for the purpose of generating sperm. Instead, the earliest type of germ cell in the postnatal testes is the spermatogonial stem cell (SSC). These SSCs can self-renew and produce haploid gametes and spermatocytes through association with Sertoli cells (DeRooij and Russel 2009). The in vitro culture of SSCs is possible at present, and the genes expressed by undifferentiated SSCs in culture are Pax7, Vasa, BclB6, Etv5, and Gfra1 (Ahn et al. 2020). In 2006, Nayernia's group was the first to attempt deriving SSCs in vitro; however, the resultant spermatids were not completely characterized, and it is unclear whether these cells were indeed spermatids (Nayernia et al. 2006). The Ishikura group was able to induce SSCs from iPSCs/ ESCs using reconstituted testes comprising aggregates of PGCLCs and somatic cells from E12.5 foetal testes (Ishikura et al. 2016). After 21 days of culture, PLZF+ SSClike cells were observed within the aggregates that had formed structures appearing like seminiferous tubules (Ishikura et al. 2016). Subsequent transplantation of these SSC-like cells into W/W^{ν} adult mouse testes produced spermatids and spermatozoa capable of fertilizing oocytes through intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) that then produced embryos in a gestational mother (Ishikura et al. 2016; Saitou and Hayashi 2021).

In humans, Hwang et al. (2020) obtained pro-spermatogonia-like cells through prolonged air–liquid interface (ALI) culture of germ cells derived from hiPSCs aggregated with testicular somatic cells from mice. The resultant hPGCLCs from these xenogeneic aggregates developed into M (multiplying)-prospermatogonia on the 77th day and T1 (primary transitional)-prospermatogonia on the 120th day in the ALI system. Single-cell RNA-seq analysis of gene expression patterns showed that these in vitro-derived prospermatogonial cells were equivalent to their corresponding in vivo counterparts. However, the functionality of these cells was not assessed (Hwang et al. 2020).

Differentiation of oogonia

Human oogonia were recently generated in vitro by Yamashiro et al. (2018) using BLIMP1-tdfTomato+ and TFAP2C-EGFP+ hiPSCs through Sasaki's method, where hPGCLCs were produced through intermediary iMeLCs (Sasaki et al. 2015; Yamashiro et al. 2018). The resultant FACS-sorted hPGCLCs were then aggregated with ovarian somatic cells from mouse embryos producing xenogeneic ovary structures. The structures became cyst-like after a week in culture. The TFAP2C-EGFP-positive hiPSCs differentiated into oogonia-like cells expressing DDX4 and DAZL on the 77th day in culture, resembling mouse granulosa cells. On the other hand, on the 120th day of culture, the *BLIMP1*-tdTomato+/TFAP2c-EGFP+ hiPSCs developed into cells that corresponded with foetal germ cells expressing the meiotic initiating gene STRA8 (EGFP-fused) but not the genes for meiotic recombination. The transcriptomic profiles of these oogonia-like cells from hiPSCs were akin to those of W7 oogonia and W9 gonocytes of embryos in humans (Tang et al. 2015; Yamashiro et al. 2018).

Spermatogenesis

To achieve in vitro spermatogenesis, Zhou et al. proposed an induction procedure using the aggregate method (Zhou et al. 2016) to generate mPGCLCs based on an adaptation of Hayashi's technique. However, instead of transplanting these cells, Zhou et al. (2016) replicated the in vivo conditions by aggregating the mPGCLCs with cells from the testes of recently born mice. These aggregates were cultured for 6 days in meiotic-inductive medium containing activin A, BMP2/4/7 and retinoic acid, which induced meiosis in the mPGCLCs, as evidenced by chromosome synapse formation. The addition of FSH, BPE (bovine pituitary extract), and testosterone induced the production of haploid SLCs (spermatid-like cells) containing a distinct acrosome and imprinting patterns in the SNRPN (small nuclear ribonucleoprotein polypeptide N) and H19 loci (Zhou et al. 2016).

Ishikura et al. (2016) described an efficient method of deriving SLCs from d4 mPGCLCs derived from mESCs

(technically also applicable to miPSCs) aggregated with E12.5 testicular somatic cells (Ishikura et al. 2016). These cells continue as germline stem cell-like cells (GSCLCs), which possess self-renewal characteristics and can develop into mature gametes. GSCs can generate mature functional spermatozoa when transplanted into W/W^{ν} mice (Kanatsu-Shinohara et al. 2005). Initial studies have shown that GSCLCs have sluggish and inefficient differentiation into SLCs (Ishikura et al. 2016). To improve the differentiation potential of these cells, Ishikura et al. (2021) used a Ddx4-controlled red fluorescent protein (RFP) + BVSC system. They showed that day 4 mPGCLCs in 5-day culture with FR10Cs5 (10 μ M forskolin, 10 μ M rolipram and 5 µM cyclosporin A) subsequently combined with E12.5 testicular somatic cells to form rTestes (reconstituted testes), which had the best results in producing SLCs according to the ratio and number of VRpositive cells in the ALI system for at least seven days. Once these SLCs are transplanted into testes and the testicular transplants are cultured in vitro, they differentiate into mature functional sperm (Ishikura et al. 2021).

At present, in vitro spermatogenesis can generate only up to the haploid spermatid stage; hence, in vitro spermiogenesis is the current bottleneck in achieving complete male IVG (Saitou and Hayashi 2021).

Oogenesis

In 2016, Hayashi et al. were able to generate metaphase II oocytes from mouse ESCs/iPSCs in a completely in vitro environment (Hikabe et al. 2016). Shortly after their ground-breaking success in producing mPGCLCs from mouse iPSCs/ESCs through intermediate EpiLCs, they were able to differentiate these mPGCLCs further by aggregating them with E12.5 ovarian somatic cells (rOvaries) for subsequent transplantation into the bursa of immunodeficient mouse ovaries. In this process, the mPGCLCs transformed into GV (germinal vesicle)stage oocytes, which then underwent in vitro maturation (IVM) and in vitro fertilization (IVF), resulting in fertile offspring with an efficiency of approximately 3.7% (Hayashi et al. 2012). To reconstitute oogenesis entirely in vitro, Hayashi et al. (2012) subdivided the steps between mPGCLCs to metaphase II oocytes into three phases: IVDi (in vitro differentiation), IVGr (in vitro growth), and IVM (in vitro maturation). Instead of transplanting the rOvaries, they extended their culture to approximately 5 weeks, which resulted in the formation of cumulus-oocyte complexes containing SC (Stella-controlled ECFP)-positive primary oocytes and Foxl2⁺ granulosa cells similar to those in primary follicles (Hayashi et al. 2017; Hikabe et al. 2016). For the IVG phase, these follicles were harvested from the rOvaries and cultured for 11 days, during which the primary oocytes

differentiated into GV oocytes. These oocytes were then cultured in IVM medium. Approximately 28.9% of the oocytes extruded the first polar body to enter meiosis II and produce metaphase II oocytes, which produced healthy offspring after IVF. Although the live birth rate from these in vitro-derived oocytes is low, at only 3.5%, and meiotic and epigenetic flaws are present, this study is valuable for its capacity to reconstruct the entire process of oogenesis in vitro, allowing for the study of interactions between gonadal somatic cells and gametes (Miyauchi et al. 2017; Ohta et al. 2017). Another drawback of this study is the need to use embryonic somatic cells. The novel technique of producing fetal ovarian somatic cell-like cells (FOSLCs) from mESCs/miPSCs is promising and may obviate the need for embryonic somatic cells (Yoshino et al. 2021). Transcriptomic analysis of these FOSLCs showed that they express Nr5A1, the gene marker of gonadal somatic cells, and their cellular composition and transcription patterns correspond to E12.5 somatic cells of the ovary (Stévant et al. 2019). When aggregated with mPGCLCs, these FOSLCs formed reconstituted ovarioids (rOvarioids), which produced functional oocytes. This shows that FOSLCs are capable of supporting the differentiation of germ cell progenitors into mature and potentially functional oocytes, producing a model where later stages of oocyte development can be studied for future clinical applications (Yang and Ng 2021).

Another breakthrough in oogenesis research is the capacity to generate oocyte-like cells from direct induction using forced expression of transcription factors without the need for prior specification into PGCLCs (Hamazaki et al. 2021). Forced expression of TFs for the primordial to primary follicle transition (PPT), such as Figla, Dynll1, NOBOX, Tbpl2, Sub1, Stat3, Sohlh1, and Lhx8, produced follicle-like structures from aggregates of miPSCs/mESCs and E12.5 somatic cells from female fetal gonads. The oocyte-like cells formed in these follicle structures develop into metaphase II oocytes that were shown to produce early 8-cell embryos (Hamazaki et al. 2021).

In humans, forced expression of *DAZL* and *BOULE* led to the derivation of follicle-like cells (FLCs) from hiPSCs/ hESCs (Jung et al. 2017; Kee et al. 2009). First, BMP4 and BMP8 were used to induce germ cell competence in hiP-SCs/hESCs, followed by the induction of meiosis through overexpression of *DAZL* and *BOULE* using lentiviruses and the addition of BMP15 and GDF9. The resultant FLCs had oocyte-like cells covered by multiple layers of cells on the 9th day after induction. These oocyte-like cells were akin to primordial oocytes based on transcriptome patterns and expressed *NOBOX, VASA, ZP2* and *AMH*. When these cells were transplanted into the kidney capsule, they formed primordial follicle-like structures, demonstrating that FLCs that develop from hiPSCs/ hESCs are functional ovarian follicles. This in vitro FLCderivation model can be useful in elucidating the early processes of human folliculogenesis and germline development (Jung et al. 2017).

In a very recent study, Yang et al. were able to induce meiosis resumption in hPGCLCs from hiPSCs using in vitro activation and reconstruction ovarian nests called IrOvaries (isogeneic reconstituted ovaries) (Yang et al. 2022). These IrOvaries were formed by aggregating hPGCLCs and foetal ovarian somatic cells from 7- to 8-week-old aborted fetuses. In vitro activation (IVA) was performed by stimulation of the Wnt pathway. Their results showed that Wnt plays a crucial role in meiotic resumption and that regulation of GSK-3 expression is important for regulating the timing of meiotic resumption. After IVA, the reconstituted ovaries were transplanted into SCID mice for folliculogenesis. This study provides a robust approach to differentiate hiPSCs into haploid oocytes in vitro and is among the more recent works done on IVG (Fig. 2).

Emerging techniques

A promising development in the field of IVG is the creation of synthetic embryos using ESCs/iPSCs from which germ cells can then be derived in vitro (Kotsiliti 2022). Synthetic embryos (embryoids) are different from embryonic bodies, which are merely disorganized three-dimensional clusters of cells. Embryoids, on the other hand, have the correct topology and polarity of various cell types according to the stage of the embryo as defined by the extracellular matrix in the surrounding media (Stirparo et al. 2018).

Blastoids

Rivron et al. in 2018 produced in vitro structures termed blastoids that resembled E3.5 blastocysts in appearance and transcription pattern (Rivron et al. 2018). To produce these blastoids, mESCs (technically also applicable to smiPSCs) were aggregated with mTSCs (trophoblast stem cells from mice) and sequentially seeded under three-dimensional suspension culture.

Since then, different types of blastoids have been produced in vitro using different kinds of stem cells and different growth factors and inhibitors (Stirparo et al. 2018). Blastoids from EPS (extended pluripotent stem cells, also derived from ESCs/iPSCs) include the Belmonte group's EPS blastoid (Li et al. 2019a), Zernicka-Goetz group's ZG blastoid or ETS-embryos (Sozen et al. 2019), iBLCs or blastocyst-like cysts (Kime et al. 2019), iBlastoids (Liu et al. 2021), the Fan group's EPS blastoids (Fan et al. 2021), and human blastoids (Yanagida et al. 2021), to name a few. Although gametes have not yet been derived



Fig. 2 Roadmap of IVG research using ESC/iPSC in mice and humans. (Modified from Saitou and Hayashi 2021; Yang et al. 2022)

from these blastoids, PGC specification induced by BMP-SMAD signalling, such as that in the ETS-embryos of the Zernicka-Goetz group, has been demonstrated (Amadei et al. 2021).

Gastruloids

By incorporation of extraembryonic endoderm cells into ESCs/iPSCs and TSCs, ETS-embryos develop into gastruloid-like E7.0 embryos at mid-gastrulation (Sozen et al. 2018). Mesodermal specialization and asymmetric patterning are more efficient in ETX-gastruloids than in ETSembryos, and PGC specification is demonstrated at the posterior portion of the junction between TSC and ESC compartments (Sozen et al. 2018). As with blastoids, it is uncertain whether germline development can proceed beyond PGC specification in these gastruloids. However, these studies have established that the creation of synthetic embryos is another way of obtaining PGCs from iPSCs/ESCs in vitro (Saitou and Hayashi 2021).

Clinical applications

One of the promising applications of IVG using iPSCs is for disease modelling to characterize fundamental pathogenetic mechanisms of diseases, thereby paving the way for a range of new approaches to treatment (Hayashi et al. 2012). To date, in vitro gametes from iPSCs of patients with premature ovarian insufficiency (POI) and nonobstructive azoospermia (NOA) have been studied to understand their pathogenesis on a cellular basis (Leng et al. 2015).

Leng et al. obtained iPSCs from POI patients with Xq deletions to study their differentiation potential (Leng et al. 2015). *VASA-GFP* reporter genes were transfected into these POI-hiPSCs to monitor germ cell development, and the cells were treated with WNT3a or BMP4 to induce PGC differentiation. *VASA-GFP*-positive cells were found to express the early germ cell markers *DAZL*, *BLIMP1*, and *DPPA3* but not the meiotic marker *SCP3*. This indicates that POI-hiPSCs could differentiate into premeiotic PGCs (Leng et al. 2015). Moreover, five genes associated with germ cell development in the Xq region were found to be significantly downregulated in these *VASA-GFP*-positive cells, and these genes were concluded to potentially be the main aetiology of the disease (Leng et al. 2015; Tilgner et al. 2010).

In 2018, Zhao et al. used their protocol for deriving PLZF-positive spermatogonium-like cells from hiPSCs in NOA patients (Zhao et al. 2018). They found that hiPSCs from patients with NOA due to Sertoli-only syndrome produced PLZF-positive spermatogonium-like cells less efficiently, while those with NOA due to AZFc microdeletions presenting with only mild symptoms had normal production (Zhao et al. 2018). These findings suggest that the capacity to produce spermatogonia-like cells from NOA-hiPSCs could potentially be used to diagnose the type and aetiology of male infertility (Saitou and Hayashi 2021). In another study, Fang et al. derived hPGCLCs through iMeLCs from NOA-hiPSCs, and the resulting cell population showed low expression of PGC markers and a high proportion of apoptotic cells compared to the population from hiPSCs of normal individuals. They concluded that the poor development of NOA-hiPSCs into hPGCLCs is caused by apoptosis during PGC specification (Fang et al. 2020).

Similarly, Botman et al. created hiPSCs from 47XXY fibroblasts of Klinefelter syndrome patients and established that the apoptosis markers caspase3 and LDH were present in these cells, causing reduced differentiation efficiency (as evidenced by increased expression of MAGEA and BOLL) (Botman et al. 2020).

Perhaps these techniques can be used to investigate other causes of NOA, such as Kallmann's syndrome, and other common infertility-causing diseases, such as endometriosis and polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS). Other promising therapeutic applications for IVG using iPSCs include but are not limited to 1) fertility preservation for prepubertal children needing to undergo gonadotoxic chemotherapy (Pampanini et al. 2021); 2) autologous mitochondrial enrichment using in vitro-derived GV oocytes to improve IVF outcomes (Labarta et al. 2019; Easley et al. 2013); and 3) although improbable at present, the generation of gametes for POI and NOA patients, thus removing the need for gamete donation (Cohen et al. 2017). IVG is, however, still experimental, and many of its potential clinical applications are currently still remote (Hendriks et al. 2019).

Current challenges of IVG using iPSCs

The challenges that face IVG using iPSCs are largely issues of efficiency, safety and ethicality (Saitou and Hayashi 2021; Nishikawa et al. 2008; Stirparo et al. 2018). The "holy grail" of IVG research is to recapitulate the whole human gametogenesis process in vitro using defined factors only and without the need for xenogeneic transplantation (Irie et al. 2015). To date, this has not been achieved, and with current in vitro models, even the PGCLC induction phase has low efficiency (Makar and Sasaki 2020). Moreover, the epigenetic and genomic integrity of in vitro gametes is substantially lower than that of gametes generated in vivo (Irie et al. 2015).

Another limitation that IVG using iPSCs must overcome is safety (Makar and Sasaki 2020). Long-term culture of these cells may elicit epigenetic alterations and methylation profiles (Rao 2008). It has been shown that hiPSCs in prolonged culture have a diminished DNA repair capacity, including a lowered ability to recognize genome damage and decreased coping strategies (Simara et al. 2017). These may result in unknown health issues, including cancer, that can be passed on to future generations (García-Rodríguez et al. 2019). It is therefore imperative to test the quality and integrity of iPSCderived gametes before they can be put to any clinical use (Saitou and Hayashi 2021).

There are also ethical and social concerns that must be considered when using iPSC-derived in vitro gametes. Although clinical therapeutics using in vitro-derived gametes are still remote, with the rate at which science and medicine are progressing, some clinical applications may arrive sooner than expected (Cohen et al. 2017). There are concerns that the widespread application of IVG for reproduction will challenge the most traditional concepts of family, namely, how parenthood is defined and how it is achieved (Cohen et al. 2017; Notini et al. 2020). For example, IVG could open the possibility of generating embryos from two gametes derived from same-sex parents, single individuals, and even deceased individuals (Notini et al. 2020). Other ethical issues include the concern surrounding eugenics and the possibility for "designer babies" (Segers et al. 2019), the commercialization of IVG (Cohen et al. 2017), and germline genome editing using CRISPR technology (Makar and Sasaki 2020).

Conclusion

From its proof-of-concept studies, the science of IVG has advanced at a very rapid pace. The ground-breaking discovery of iPSCs and their use for the creation of these gametes have contributed enormously to this progress. Several IVG techniques and models using iPSCs have been developed in both mice and humans. Despite this rapid advancement, the efficient and reliable recapitulation of gametogenesis in its entirety in vitro still eludes us. Although oogenesis has been achieved entirely in vitro in mice, the efficiency of the process and the rates of successful term births of the resulting pups remain low. Emerging techniques such as the use of synthetic embryos and the direct induction of germ cells using transcription factors may improve the efficiency of IVG using iPSCs and could facilitate the complete in vitro reconstitution of mature human gametes. Although some scientists believe that the translational applications of IVG are limited to better elucidating germ cell biology, it is already being used to model certain conditions that cause infertility in order to better understand the underlying pathogenetic mechanisms on a cellular level, with the aim of discovering therapeutic options for diseases that are currently untreatable. IVG holds great potential for reproductive medicine and could usher in the next era of reproduction and regeneration. Nevertheless, as with any breakthrough technology, along with this enormous therapeutic potential comes great risk and many ethical responsibilities. The scientific community should continue to cautiously advance technology related to IVG and iPSCs and pay special attention to improving the efficiency and safety of the processes, while also ensuring that they are ethically sound.

Abbreviations

2i	2 Kinds of inhibitors
4i	4 Kinds of inhibitors
ActA	Actin assembly-inducting protein
ALI	Air-liquid interface
AMH	Anti-mullerian hormone
AZFc	Azoospermic factor c
Bex1	Brain expressed x-linked 1
bFGF	Basic fibroblast growth factor
Blimp	B-lymphocyte induced maturation protein 1
BMP	Bone morphogenetic proteine
BOLL	BOULE-like
BOULE	Drosophila boule gene
BPE	Bovine pituitary extract
BVSC	Blimp1-mVenus and Stella-ECFP
с-Мус	Cellular myelocytomatosis oncogene
CRISPR	Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats
DAZL	Deleted in azoospermia-like germ-cell specific RNA binding
	protein
DDX4	DEAD-box helicase 4
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DPPA3	Developmental pluripotency associated 3
Dynll 1	Dynein light chain LC8-type1
EB	Embryoid body

EGF EGFP EOMES	Epidermal growth factor Enhanced green fluorescent protein Eomesodermin
EpiLCs	Epiblast-like cells
epiPGCs	Epiblast-derive primordial germ cells
EPISCS	Extended pluripotent stem cells
ESCs	Embryonic stem cells
Esrrb	Estrogen-related receptor beta
ETS	Erythrobast transformation specific
ETV5	E-twenty-six (erythrocyte transformation specific) variant gene 6 Engline toxin inducer
FACS	Fluorescence-activated cell sorting
Figla	Factor in germline alpha
FLCs	Follicle-like cells
FOSLCs	Fetal ovarian somatic cell-like cells
FRIOCSS	Follicle stimulating hormone
GDF9	Growth differentiation factor 9
Gfra1	GDNF (Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor) family receptor
	alpha 1
GSCLCs	Germline stem cell-like cells
GSK	Glycogen synthase kinase
GSK3	Glycogen synthase kinase 3
GV	Germinal vesicle
H19	19 Th clone in row H imprinted domain
hESCs	Human ESCs
hPGCLCs	Human primordial germ cell-like cells
hPGCs	Human primordial germ cells
HSVtk	Herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase
iBLCs	Blastocyst-like cysts / iBlastoids
ICM	Inner cell mass
iMel Cs	Incipient mesoderm-like cells
iPSCs	Induced pluripotent stem cells
IrOvaries	Isogeneic reconstituted ovaries
IVA	In vitro Activation
IVDi IVE	In vitro Differentiation
IVG	In vitro Gametogenesis
IVGr	In vitro Growth
IVM	In vitro Maturation
JNK	C-Jun N-terminal kinase
KIT	Kruppel-like factors
I DH	Lactate dehvdrogenase
Lhx8	LIM (<i>lin-11, lsl-1, mec</i> 3) homeobox 8
LIF	Leukemia inhibitory factor
Lin28	Cell lineage protein 28
MAGEA	Mitogen-activated protein kinase
MEK	Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase
mEpiSCs	Mouse epiblast stem cells
mESCs	Mouse embryonic stem cells
miPSCs	Mouse induced pluripotent stem cells
miRNAs	Miro ribonucleic acids
MIXL1	Mix paired-like homeobox
mPGCLCs	Mouse primordial germ cell like cells
mPGCs	Mouse primordial germ cells
mPSCs	Mouse pluripotent stem cells
mVenus	Membrane-targeted Venus
NOA	Nonobstructive azoospermia
NOBOX	Newborn ovary homeobox
Nr5A1	Nuclear receptor subfamily 5 group A member 1
OCT4 OSKM	Octa Sox2 Klf4 and c-Myc
0010111	occi, sonz, mi i unu civiye,

Otx2	Orthodenticle Homeobox 2
Pax1	Paired box 1
PCOS	Polycystic ovary syndrome
PGCLCs	Primordial germ cell like cells
PGCs	Primordial germ cells
PLZE	Promyeolocytic leukemia zinc finger
pMaster12	Plasmid Master 12 episome
POI	Premature ovarian insufficiency
PFT	Primordial to primary follicle transition
Prdm14	Positive regulatory domain containing protein 14
PSCs	Pluripotent stem cells
REP	Red fluorescent protein
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
rOvaries	Reconstituted ovaries
rOvarioids	Reconstituted ovarioids
rTestes	Reconstituted testes
SC	Stella-controlled ECFP
SCF	Stem cell factor
SCID	Severe combined immunodeficiency
SCP3	Synaptonemal complex protein 3
SLCs	Spermatid-like cells
SMAD	Suppressor of mothers against decapentaplegic
SNRPN	Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein polypeptide N
Sohlh1	Spermatogenesis and oogenesis specific basic helix-loop-helix1
SOX17	Sperm determining region Y-box 17
Sox2	Sperm determining region Y-box 2
SP5	Specificity protein 5
SSC	Spermatogonial stem cells
SSEA1	Stage-specific embryonic antigen-4
Stat3	Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3
STRA8	Stimulated by retinoic acid 8
T1	Primary transitional
Tbp12	TATA box (Goldberg-Hogness box) binding protein 12
Tbx3	T-box transcription factor 3
tdTomato	Tandem dimer Tomato fluorescent protein
Tead2	TEA (tef-1,abaA) domain transcription factor 2
TET	Ten-eleven translocation
TF	Transcription factor
Tfap2C	Transcription factor AP-2 Gamma
TSCs	Trophoblast stem cells
Vasa	Vas ATP-dependent RNA helicase
WNT3a	Wingless-related integration site family member 3A
Xq	Long arm of X-chromosome
Zfp42	Zinc finger protein 42
ZP2	Zona pellucida glycoprotein 2

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Jennifer Zenker, PhD, for her comments on an early draft of this manuscript and her suggestions for the title. The author also thanks Dr Hortensia Ferrero Chafer of IVI-RMA for reviewing the manuscript and providing comments. Acknowledgement goes to Erlidia Llamas-Clark, MD PhD, for encouraging the author to publish this review, to Michael Cadorniga for his technical contribution to the figures in the manuscript, and to Springer Nature Author Services editors for their valuable insights and suggestions in the polishing of this paper.

Author's contributions

The author is responsible for conceptualizing this manuscript, for conducting the literature search, for writing the narrative review, and for preparing the manuscript for publication.

Authors' information

Maria Victoria Romualdez-Tan, MD is a medical graduate of the University of the Philippines-Philippine General Hospital, obtaining residency in Obstetrics and Gynecology and sub-specialization in Reproductive Medicine at the same institution. She completed her Master's Degree in Biotechnology of Human Assisted Reproduction and Embryology at University of Valencia (IVI-RMA), Spain. She is currently an Associate Professor at Cebu Doctors' University Hospital and the Medical Director and Chief ART clinician at Repro Optima Center for Reproductive Health, Cebu City, Philippines.

Funding

This work was supported by an academic grant from Repro Optima Center for Reproductive Health, Inc.

Availability of data and materials

No new data were generated or analysed in support of this review.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The author declares no competing interests.

Received: 23 April 2023 Accepted: 28 August 2023 Published online: 16 October 2023

References

- Ahn JS, Ryu HS, Jung SE, Shin BJ, et al. Expression profile of spermatogenesis associated genes in male germ cells during postnatal development in mice. J Anim Reprod Biotechnol. 2020;35:289–96. https://doi.org/10. 12750/JARB.35.4.289.
- Amadei G, Lau KYC, De Jonghe J, Gantner CW, Sozen B, Chan C, Zhu M, Kyprianou C, Hollfelder F, Zernicka-Goetz M. Inducible stem-cellderived embryos capture mouse morphogenetic events in vitro. Dev Cell. 2021;56(3):366-382.e9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2020.12. 004. Epub 2020 Dec 29. PMID: 33378662; PMCID: PMC7883308.
- Aoi. 10th anniversary of iPS cells: the challenges that lie ahead. J Biochem. 2016;160:121–9. https://doi.org/10.1093/jb/mvw044.
- Botman O, Hibaoui Y, Giudice MG, Ambroise J, Creppe C, Feki A, Wyns C. Modeling Klinefelter syndrome using induced pluripotent stem cells reveals impaired germ cell differentiation. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2020;8:567454. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2020.567454. PMID: 33117798; PMCID: PMC7575714.
- Brons IG, Smithers LE, Trotter MW, et al. Derivation of pluripotent epiblast stem cells from mammalian embryos. Nature. 2007;448(7150):191–5. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05950. PMID: 17597762.
- Cohen IG, Daley GQ, Adashi EY. Disruptive reproductive technologies. Sci Transl Med. 2017;9(372):eaag2959. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslm ed.aag2959. PMID: 28077678.
- Daley GQ. Gametes from embryonic stem cells: a cup half empty or half full? Science. 2007;316(5823):409–10. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.11387 72. PMID: 17446394.
- DeRooij, Russel. All you wanted to know about spermatogonia but were afraid to ask. J Androl. 2009;21:776–98. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1939-4640.2000.tb03408.x.
- Easley C, Simerly C, Schatten G. Stem cell therapeutic possibilities: future therapeutic options for male-factor and female-factor infertility. Reprod BioMed Online. 2013;27(1):75-78ISSN 1472-6483. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2013.03.003.
- Evans MJ, Kaufman MH. Establishment in culture of pluripotential cells from mouse embryos. Nature. 1981;292(5819):154–6. https://doi.org/10.1038/ 292154a0. PMID: 7242681.
- Fan Y, Min Z, Alsolami S, Ma Z, Zhang E, Chen W, Zhong K, Pei W, Kang X, Zhang P, Wang Y, Zhang Y, Zhan L, Zhu H, An C, Li R, Qiao J, Tan T, Li M, Yu Y. Generation of human blastocyst-like structures from pluripotent stem cells. Cell Discov. 2021;7(1):81. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41421-021-00316-8. PMID:34489415; PMCID:PMC8421367.
- Fang F, Li Z, Zhao Q, Ye Z, Gu X, Pan F, Li H, Xiang W, Xiong C. Induced pluripotent stem cells derived from two idiopathic azoospermia patients display compromised differentiation potential for primordial germ cell fate. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2020;8:432. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2020.00432.

Erratum in: Front Cell Dev Biol. 2020 Aug 25;8:718. PMID: 32671061; PMCID: PMC7331483.

- García-Rodríguez A, Gosálvez J, Agarwal A, Roy R, Johnston S. DNA damage and repair in human reproductive cells. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20:31. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijms20010031.
- Geijsen N, Horoschak M, Kim K, Gribnau J, Eggan K, Daley GQ. Derivation of embryonic germ cells and male gametes from embryonic stem cells. Nature. 2004;427(6970):148–54. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02247. Epub 2003 Dec 10. PMID: 14668819.
- Hackett JA, Surani MA. Regulatory principles of pluripotency: from the ground state up. Cell Stem Cell. 2014;15(4):416–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem. 2014.09.015. PMID: 25280218.
- Hamazaki N, Kyogoku H, Araki H, Miura F, Horikawa C, Hamada N, Shimamoto S, Hikabe O, Nakashima K, Kitajima TS, Ito T, Leitch HG, Hayashi K. Reconstitution of the oocyte transcriptional network with transcription factors. Nature. 2021;589(7841):264–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-3027-9. Epub 2020 Dec 16 PMID: 33328630.
- Hayashi, Surani. Self-renewing epiblast stem cells exhibit continual delineation of germ cells with epigenetic reprogramming in vitro. Development. 2009;136:3549–56. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.037747.
- Hayashi K, Ohta H, Kurimoto K, Aramaki S, Saitou M. Reconstitution of the mouse germ cell specification pathway in culture by pluripotent stem cells. Cell. 2011;146(4):519–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.06.052. Epub 2011 Aug 4. PMID: 21820164.
- Hayashi K, Ogushi S, Kurimoto K, Shimamoto S, Ohta H, Saitou M. Offspring from oocytes derived from in vitro primordial germ cell-like cells in mice. Science. 2012;338(6109):971–5. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1226889. Epub 2012 Oct 4. PMID: 23042295.
- Hayashi M, Kawaguchi T, Durcova-Hills G, Imai H. Generation of germ cells from pluripotent stem cells in mammals. Reprod Med Biol. 2017;17(2):107–14. https://doi.org/10.1002/rmb2.12077. PMID: 29692667; PMCID: PMC5902460.
- Hendriks S, van Wely M, D'Hooghe TM, Meissner A, Mol F, Peeraer K, Repping S, Dancet EAF. The relative importance of genetic parenthood. Reprod Biomed Online. 2019;39(1):103–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2019. 02.008. Epub 2019 Mar 12. PMID: 31006544.
- Hikabe O, Hamazaki N, Nagamatsu G, Obata Y, Hirao Y, Hamada N, Shimamoto S, Imamura T, Nakashima K, Saitou M, Hayashi K. Reconstitution in vitro of the entire cycle of the mouse female germ line. Nature. 2016;539(7628):299–303. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20104. Epub 2016 Oct 17. PMID: 27750280.
- Hong TK, Song JH, Lee SB, Do JT. Germ cell derivation from pluripotent stem cells for understanding in vitro gametogenesis. Cells. 2021;10(8):1889. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells10081889. PMID: 34440657; PMCID: PMC8394365.
- Hübner K, Fuhrmann G, Christenson LK, Kehler J, Reinbold R, De La Fuente R, Wood J, Strauss JF 3rd, Boiani M, Schöler HR. Derivation of oocytes from mouse embryonic stem cells. Science. 2003;300(5623):1251–6. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.1083452. Epub 2003 May 1. PMID: 12730498.
- Hwang YS, Suzuki S, Seita Y, Ito J, Sakata Y, Aso H, Sato K, Hermann BP, Sasaki K. Reconstitution of prospermatogonial specification in vitro from human induced pluripotent stem cells. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):5656. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19350-3. PMID: 33168808; PMCID: PMC7653920.
- Irie N, Weinberger L, Tang WW, Kobayashi T, Viukov S, Manor YS, Dietmann S, Hanna JH, Surani MA. SOX17 is a critical specifier of human primordial germ cell fate. Cell. 2015;160(1–2):253–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell. 2014.12.013. Epub 2014 Dec 24. PMID: 25543152; PMCID: PMC4310934.
- Ishikura Y, Yabuta Y, Ohta H, Hayashi K, Nakamura T, Okamoto I, Yamamoto T, Kurimoto K, Shirane K, Sasaki H, Saitou M. In vitro derivation and propagation of spermatogonial stem cell activity from mouse pluripotent stem cells. Cell Rep. 2016;17(10):2789–804. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep. 2016.11.026. PMID: 27926879.
- Ishikura Y, Ohta H, Sato T, Murase Y, Yabuta Y, Kojima Y, Yamashiro C, Nakamura T, Yamamoto T, Ogawa T, Saitou M. In vitro reconstitution of the whole male germ-cell development from mouse pluripotent stem cells. Cell Stem Cell. 2021;28(12):2167-2179.e9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2021. 08.005. Epub 2021 Sep 7. PMID: 34496297.
- Jung D, Xiong J, Ye M, Qin X, Li L, Cheng S, Luo M, Peng J, Dong J, Tang F, Shen W, Matzuk MM, Kee K. In vitro differentiation of human embryonic stem

cells into ovarian follicle-like cells. Nat Commun. 2017;8:15680. https:// doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15680. PMID: 28604658; PMCID: PMC5472783.

- Kanatsu-Shinohara M, Miki H, Inoue K, Ogonuki N, Toyokuni S, Ogura A, Shinohara T. Long-term culture of mouse male germline stem cells under serumor feeder-free conditions. Biol Reprod. 2005;72(4):985–91. https://doi.org/ 10.1095/biolreprod.104.036400. Epub 2004 Dec 15. PMID: 15601913.
- Kee K, Angeles VT, Flores M, Nguyen HN, Reijo Pera RA. Human DAZL, DAZ and BOULE genes modulate primordial germ-cell and haploid gamete formation. Nature. 2009;462(7270):222–5. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08562. Epub 2009 Oct 28. PMID: 19865085; PMCID: PMC3133736.
- Kime C, Kiyonari H, Ohtsuka S, Kohbayashi E, Asahi M, Yamanaka S, Takahashi M, Tomoda K. Induced 2C expression and implantation-competent blastocyst-like cysts from primed pluripotent stem cells. Stem Cell Rep. 2019;13(3):485–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2019.07.011. Epub 2019 Aug 8. PMID: 31402336; PMCID: PMC6739768.
- Kotsiliti E. Synthetic mouse embryos. Nat Biotechnol. 2022;40(9):1327. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41587-022-01479-9. PMID: 36085513.
- Labarta E, de Los Santos MJ, Herraiz S, Escribá MJ, Marzal A, Buigues A, Pellicer A. Autologous mitochondrial transfer as a complementary technique to intracytoplasmic sperm injection to improve embryo quality in patients undergoing in vitro fertilization-a randomized pilot study. Fertil Steril. 2019;111(1):86–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2018.09.023. Epub 2018 Nov 24. PMID: 30477915.
- Lee CS, Bishop ES, Zhang R, Yu X, Farina EM, Yan S, Zhao C, Zheng Z, Shu Y, Wu X, Lei J, Li Y, Zhang W, Yang C, Wu K, Wu Y, Ho S, Athiviraham A, Lee MJ, Wolf JM, Reid RR, He TC. Adenovirus-mediated gene delivery: potential applications for gene and cell-based therapies in the new era of personalized medicine. Genes Dis. 2017;4(2):43–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gendis. 2017.04.001. Epub 2017 Apr 27. PMID: 28944281; PMCID: PMC5609467.
- Leng L, Tan Y, Gong F, Hu L, Ouyang Q, Zhao Y, Lu G, Lin G. Differentiation of primordial germ cells from induced pluripotent stem cells of primary ovarian insufficiency. Hum Reprod. 2015;30(3):737–48. https://doi.org/10. 1093/humrep/deu358. Epub 2015 Jan 12. PMID: 25586786.
- Li R, Zhong C, Yu Y, Liu H, Sakurai M, Yu L, Min Z, Shi L, Wei Y, Takahashi Y, Liao HK, Qiao J, Deng H, Nuñez-Delicado E, Rodriguez Esteban C, Wu J, Izpisua Belmonte JC. Generation of blastocyst-like structures from mouse embryonic and adult cell cultures. Cell. 2019a;179(3):687-702.e18. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.09.029. PMID: 31626770; PMCID: PMC7359735.
- Li Z, Fang F, Zhao Q, Li H, Xiong C. Supplementation of vitamin C promotes early germ cell specification from human embryonic stem cells. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2019b;10(1):324. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13287-019-1427-2. PMID: 31730021; PMCID: PMC6858754.
- Liu G, David BT, Trawczynski M, Fessler RG. Advances in pluripotent stem cells: history, mechanisms, technologies, and applications. Stem Cell Rev Rep. 2020;16(1):3–32. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12015-019-09935-x. PMID: 31760627; PMCID: PMC6987053.
- Liu X, Tan JP, Schröder J, Aberkane A, Ouyang JF, Mohenska M, Lim SM, Sun YBY, Chen J, Sun G, Zhou Y, Poppe D, Lister R, Clark AT, Rackham OJL, Zenker J, Polo JM. Modelling human blastocysts by reprogramming fibroblasts into iBlastoids. Nature. 2021;591(7851):627–32. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41586-021-03372-y. Epub 2021 Mar 17. PMID: 33731926.
- Luo Y, Yu Y. Research advances in gametogenesis and embryogenesis using pluripotent stem cells. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2022;9:801468. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fcell.2021.801468. PMID: 35127717; PMCID: PMC8810640.
- Makar K, Sasaki K. Roadmap of germline development and in vitro gametogenesis from pluripotent stem cells. Andrology. 2020;8(4):842–51. https://doi.org/10.1111/andr.12726. Epub 2019 Nov 25. PMID: 31705609.
- Marks H, Kalkan T, Menafra R, Denissov S, Jones K, Hofemeister H, Nichols J, Kranz A, Stewart AF, Smith A, Stunnenberg HG. The transcriptional and epigenomic foundations of ground state pluripotency. Cell. 2012;149(3):590–604. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.03.026. PMID: 22541430; PMCID: PMC3398752.77.
- Mishra S, Taelman J, Popovic M, Tilleman L, Duthoo E, van der Jeught M, Deforce D, van Nieuwerburgh F, Menten B, de Sutter P, Boel A, Chuva De Sousa Lopes SM, Heindryckx B. Activin A-derived human embryonic stem cells show increased competence to differentiate into primordial germ cell-like cells. Stem Cells. 2021;39(5):551–63. https://doi.org/10.1002/stem. 3335. Epub 2021 Feb 2. PMID: 33470497; PMCID: PMC8248136.
- Miyauchi H, Ohta H, Nagaoka S, Nakaki F, Sasaki K, Hayashi K, Yabuta Y, Nakamura T, Yamamoto T, Saitou M. Bone morphogenetic protein and

retinoic acid synergistically specify female germ-cell fate in mice. EMBO J. 2017;36(21):3100–19. https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.201796875. Epub 2017 Sep 19. PMID: 28928204; PMCID: PMC5666620.

- Nakagawa M, Koyanagi M, Tanabe K, Takahashi K, Ichisaka T, Aoi T, Okita K, Mochiduki Y, Takizawa N, Yamanaka S. Generation of induced pluripotent stem cells without Myc from mouse and human fibroblasts. Nat Biotechnol. 2008;26(1):101–6. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1374. Epub 2007 Nov 30. PMID: 18059259.
- Nayernia K, Nolte J, Michelmann HW, Lee JH, Rathsack K, Drusenheimer N, Dev A, Wulf G, Ehrmann IE, Elliott DJ, Okpanyi V, Zechner U, Haaf T, Meinhardt A, Engel W. In vitro-differentiated embryonic stem cells give rise to male gametes that can generate offspring mice. Dev Cell. 2006;11(1):125–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2006.05.010. PMID: 16824959.
- Nichols J, Jones K, Phillips JM, Newland SA, Roode M, Mansfield W, Smith A, Cooke A. Validated germline-competent embryonic stem cell lines from nonobese diabetic mice. Nat Med. 2009;15(7):814–8. https://doi.org/10. 1038/nm.1996. Epub 2009 Jun 2. PMID: 19491843.
- Nishikawa S, Goldstein RA, Nierras CR. The promise of human induced pluripotent stem cells for research and therapy. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2008;9(9):725–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2466. PMID: 18698329.
- Notini L, Gyngell C, Savulescu J. Drawing the line on in vitro gametogenesis. Bioethics. 2020;34(1):123–34. https://doi.org/10.1111/bioe.12679. Epub 2019 Oct 15. PMID: 31617217; PMCID: PMC6973109.
- Ohinata Y, Ohta H, Shigeta M, Yamanaka K, Wakayama T, Saitou M. A signaling principle for the specification of the germ cell lineage in mice. Cell. 2009;137(3):571–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.03.014. PMID: 19410550.
- Ohta H, Kurimoto K, Okamoto I, Nakamura T, Yabuta Y, Miyauchi H, Yamamoto T, Okuno Y, Hagiwara M, Shirane K, Sasaki H, Saitou M. In vitro expansion of mouse primordial germ cell-like cells recapitulates an epigenetic blank slate. EMBO J. 2017;36(13):1888–907. https://doi.org/10.15252/embj. 201695862. Epub 2017 May 30. PMID: 28559416; PMCID: PMC5494472.
- Okita K, Ichisaka T, Yamanaka S. Generation of germline-competent induced pluripotent stem cells. Nature. 2007;448(7151):313–7. https://doi.org/10. 1038/nature05934. Epub 2007 Jun 6. PMID: 17554338.
- Pampanini V, Hassan J, Oliver E, Stukenborg JB, Damdimopoulou P, Jahnukeinen K. Fertility preservation for prepubertal patients at risk of infertility: present and future perspectives. Horm Res Paediatr. 2021;93:599–608. https://doi.org/10.1159/000516087.
- Rao M. Scalable human ES culture for therapeutic use: propagation, differentiation, genetic modification and regulatory issues. Gene Ther. 2008;15(2):82–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.gt.3303061. Epub 2007 Nov 15. PMID: 18004405.
- Rivron NC, Frias-Aldeguer J, Vrij EJ, Boisset JC, Korving J, Vivié J, Truckenmüller RK, van Oudenaarden A, van Blitterswijk CA, Geijsen N. Blastocyst-like structures generated solely from stem cells. Nature. 2018;557(7703):106–11. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41586-018-0051-0. Epub 2018 May 2. PMID: 29720634.
- Saitou, Hayashi. Offspring from oocytes derived from in vitro primordial germ cell- like cells in mice. Science. 2021;338:971–5. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1226889.
- Saitou M, Yamaji M. Germ cell specification in mice: signaling, transcription regulation, and epigenetic consequences. Reproduction. 2010;139(6):931–42. https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-10-0043. Epub 2010 Apr 6. PMID: 20371640.
- Sasaki K, Yokobayashi S, Nakamura T, Okamoto I, Yabuta Y, Kurimoto K, Ohta H, Moritoki Y, Iwatani C, Tsuchiya H, Nakamura S, Sekiguchi K, Sakuma T, Yamamoto T, Mori T, Woltjen K, Nakagawa M, Yamamoto T, Takahashi K, Yamanaka S, Saitou M. Robust in vitro induction of human germ cell fate from pluripotent stem cells. Cell Stem Cell. 2015;17(2):178–94. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.stem.2015.06.014. Epub 2015 Jul 16. PMID: 26189426.
- Segers S, Pennings G, Dondorp W, De Wert G, Mertes H. In vitro gametogenesis and the creation of 'designer babies.' Camb Q Healthc Ethics. 2019;28(3):499–508. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0963180119000422.
- Simara P, Tesarova L, Rehakova D, Matula P, Stejskal S, Hampl A, Koutna I. DNA double-strand breaks in human induced pluripotent stem cell reprogramming and long-term in vitro culturing. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2017;8(1):73. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13287-017-0522-5. PMID: 28327192; PMCID: PMC5361733.
- Singh VK, Kumar N, Kalsan M, Saini A, Chandra R. Mechanism of Induction: Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells (iPSCs). J Stem Cells. 2015;10(1):43–62. PMID: 26665937.

- Sozen B, Amadei G, Cox A, et al. Self-assembly of embryonic and two extraembryonic stem cell types into gastrulating embryo-like structures [published correction appears in Nat Cell Biol. 2018 Oct;20(10):1229]. Nat Cell Biol. 2018;20(8):979–89. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-018-0147-7.
- Sozen B, Cox AL, De Jonghe J, Bao M, Hollfelder F, Glover DM, Zernicka-Goetz M. Self-organization of mouse stem cells into an extended potential blastoid. Dev Cell. 2019;51(6):698-712.e8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel. 2019.11.014. PMID: 31846649.
- Sridharan R, Tchieu J, Mason MJ, Yachechko R, Kuoy E, Horvath S, Zhou Q, Plath K. Role of the murine reprogramming factors in the induction of pluripotency. Cell. 2009;136(2):364–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.01.001. PMID: 19167336; PMCID: PMC3273494.
- Stadtfield, et al. Abberant silencing of imprinted genes on chromosome 12qF1 in mouse induced pluripotent stem cells. Nature. 2010;465:175–81. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09017.
- Stévant I, Kühne F, Greenfield A, Chaboissier MC, Dermitzakis ET, Nef S. Dissecting cell lineage specification and sex fate determination in gonadal somatic cells using single-cell transcriptomics. Cell Rep. 2019;26(12):3272-3283.e3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.02.069. PMID: 30893600.
- Stirparo GG, Boroviak T, Guo G, Nichols J, Smith A, Bertone P. Integrated analysis of single-cell embryo data yields a unified transcriptome signature for the human pre-implantation epiblast. Development. 2018;145(3):dev158501. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.158501. Erratum in: Development. 2018;145(15): PMID: 29361568; PMCID: PMC5818005.
- Sui D, Sun Z, Xu C, et al. Fine-tuning of iPSC derivation by an inducible reprogramming system at the protein level. Stem Cell Rep. 2014;2(5):721–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2014.03.013. Published 2014 May 8.
- Takahashi, Yamanaka. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. Cell. 2006;126:663– 76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.07.024.
- Takahashi K, Tanabe K, Ohnuki M, Narita M, Ichisaka T, Tomoda K, Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors. Cell. 2007;131(5):861–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell. 2007.11.019. PMID: 18035408.
- Tang WW, Dietmann S, Irie N, et al. A unique gene regulatory network resets the human germline epigenome for development. Cell. 2015;161(6):1453–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.04.053.
- Tesar PJ, Chenoweth JG, Brook FA, Davies TJ, Evans EP, Mack DL, Gardner RL, McKay RD. New cell lines from mouse epiblast share defining features with human embryonic stem cells. Nature. 2007;448(7150):196–9. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nature05972. Epub 2007 Jun 27. PMID: 17597760.
- Tilgner K, Atkinson SP, Yung S, Golebiewska A, Stojkovic M, Moreno R, Lako M, Armstrong L. Expression of GFP under the control of the RNA helicase VASA permits fluorescence-activated cell sorting isolation of human primordial germ cells. Stem Cells. 2010;28(1):84–92. https://doi.org/10. 1002/stem.263. PMID: 19937754.
- Toyooka Y, Tsunekawa N, Akasu R, Noce T. Embryonic stem cells can form germ cells in vitro. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003;100(20):11457–62. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1932826100. Epub 2003 Sep 22. PMID: 14504407; PMCID: PMC208779.
- Wang J, Zhai Q, Zhang R, Ge W, Liu J, Sun Z, De Felici M, Shen W. Effects of activin A on the transcriptone of mouse oogenesis in vitro. J Cell Physiol. 2019;234:14339–50. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.28135.
- Wu S, Wu Y, Zhang X, Capecchi MR. Efficient germ-line transmission obtained with transgene-free induced pluripotent stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111(29):10678–83. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1409933111. Epub 2014 Jul 7. PMID: 25002522; PMCID: PMC4115572.
- Yamashiro C, Sasaki K, Yabuta Y, Kojima Y, Nakamura T, Okamoto I, Yokobayashi S, Murase Y, Ishikura Y, Shirane K, Sasaki H, Yamamoto T, Saitou M. Generation of human oogonia from induced pluripotent stem cells in vitro. Science. 2018;362(6412):356–60. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat1674. Epub 2018 Sep 20. PMID: 30237246.
- Yanagida A, Spindlow D, Nichols J, Dattani A, Smith A, Guo G. Naive stem cell blastocyst model captures human embryo lineage segregation. Cell Stem Cell. 2021;28(6):1016-1022.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2021.04.031. Epub 2021 May 5. PMID: 33957081; PMCID: PMC8189436.
- Yang, Ng. The making of an ovarian niche. Science. 2021;373:282–3. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.abj8347.
- Yang S, Liu Z, Wu S, Zou L, Cao Y, Xu H, Huang J, Tian Q, Wu F, Li P, Peng S, Shuai C. Meiosis resumption in human primordial germ cells from induced pluripotent stem cells by in vitro activation and reconstruction of ovarian

nests. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2022;13(1):339. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13287-022-03019-3. PMID: 35883163; PMCID: PMC9327357.

- Ying QL, Nichols J, Chambers I, Smith A. BMP induction of Id proteins suppresses differentiation and sustains embryonic stem cell self-renewal in collaboration with STAT3. Cell. 2003;115(3):281–92. https://doi.org/10. 1016/s0092-8674(03)00847-x. PMID: 14636556.
- Ying QL, Wray J, Nichols J, Batlle-Morera L, Doble B, Woodgett J, Cohen P, Smith A. The ground state of embryonic stem cell self-renewal. Nature. 2008;453(7194):519–23. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06968. PMID: 18497825; PMCID: PMC5328678.
- Yoshino T, Suzuki T, Nagamatsu G, Yabukami H, Ikegaya M, Kishima M, Kita H, Imamura T, Nakashima K, Nishinakamura R, Tachibana M, Inoue M, Shima Y, Morohashi KI, Hayashi K. Generation of ovarian follicles from mouse pluripotent stem cells. Science. 2021;373(6552):eabe0237. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.abe0237. PMID: 34437124.
- Yu J, Hu K, Smuga-Otto K, et al. Human induced pluripotent stem cells free of vector and transgene sequences. Science. 2014;2009(324):797–801. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1172482.
- Zhao Y, Ye S, Liang D, Wang P, Fu J, Ma Q, Kong R, Shi L, Gong X, Chen W, Ding W, Yang W, Zhu Z, Chen H, Sun X, Zhu J, Li Z, Wang Y. In vitro modeling of human germ cell development using pluripotent stem cells. Stem Cell Rep. 2018;10(2):509–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2018.01.001. Epub 2018 Feb 1. PMID: 29398481; PMCID: PMC5830957.
- Zhou Q, Wang M, Yuan Y, Wang X, Fu R, Wan H, Xie M, Liu M, Guo X, Zheng Y, Feng G, Shi Q, Zhao XY, Sha J, Zhou Q. Complete meiosis from embryonic stem cell-derived germ cells in vitro. Cell Stem Cell. 2016;18(3):330–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2016.01.017. Epub 2016 Feb 25. PMID: 26923202.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[™] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com