REVIEW Open Access

Chromatin remodeling in tissue stem cell fate determination

Xinyang Li^{1,3†}, Gaoxiang Zhu^{2†} and Bing Zhao^{2,3*}

Abstract

Tissue stem cells (TSCs), which reside in specialized tissues, constitute the major cell sources for tissue homeostasis and regeneration, and the contribution of transcriptional or epigenetic regulation of distinct biological processes in TSCs has been discussed in the past few decades. Meanwhile, ATP-dependent chromatin remodelers use the energy from ATP hydrolysis to remodel nucleosomes, thereby afecting chromatin dynamics and the regulation of gene expression programs in each cell type. However, the role of chromatin remodelers in tissue stem cell fate determination is less well understood. In this review, we systematically discuss recent advances in epigenetic control by chromatin remodelers of hematopoietic stem cells, intestinal epithelial stem cells, neural stem cells, and skin stem cells in their fate determination and highlight the importance of their essential role in tissue homeostasis, development, and regeneration. Moreover, the exploration of the molecular and cellular mechanisms of TSCs is crucial for advancing our understanding of tissue maintenance and for the discovery of novel therapeutic targets.

Keywords Tissue stem cells, Chromatin remodeling, Cell fate determination

Background

Stem cells are a class of cells with the capacity for selfrenewal and multi-lineage diferentiation. Based on the source of origin, stem cells can be classifed as tissue stem cells (TSCs), embryonic stem cells, induced pluripotent stem cells, and other stem cells (Essawy et al. [2020](#page-15-0); Shah et al. [2021](#page-17-0)). TSCs are a type of quiescent resident stem cells that remain in an undiferentiated state in most organs or tissues, playing an important role in maintaining tissue homeostasis, cell proliferation, diferentiation, and aging. Their regenerative properties have garnered signifcant attention over the past seven decades. As

† Xinyang Li and Gaoxiang Zhu contributed equally to this work.

¹ State Key Laboratory of Genetic Engineering, School of Life Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, China

² School of Basic Medical Sciences, Jiangxi Medical College, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang University,

Nanchang 330031, China

³ Z Lab, bioGenous BIOTECH, Shanghai 200438, China

biological technology advances (such as label-retention assays (Cotsarelis et al. [1990](#page-15-1)), lineage tracing labeling in vivo (Barker et al. [2007](#page-14-0)), function examination for proliferation and diferentiation ability in vitro (Xin et al. [2007](#page-18-0)), evaluation of reconstruction of tissues in vivo (Biasco et al. [2016](#page-14-1)), diferent TSCs are being identifed and characterized, including hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) (Thomas et al. [1957](#page-18-1)), muscle satellite cells (MuSCs) (Mauro [1961\)](#page-17-1), neural stem cells (NSCs) (Paton and Nottebohm [1984\)](#page-17-2), hair follicle stem cells (HFSCs) (Cotsarelis et al. [1990](#page-15-1)), mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) (Colter et al. [2001](#page-15-2)), intestinal epithelial stem cells (IESCs) (Barker et al. [2007\)](#page-14-0), etc. Besides, new types of TSCs have also been continually discovered in recent years, such as Procr⁺ progenitors (Wang et al. [2020](#page-18-2)), Dist-Luminal-C luminal progenitor cells (Guo et al. [2020\)](#page-15-3), zone 2 hepatocytes (He et al. [2021](#page-15-4); Wei et al. [2021](#page-18-3)), Prrx1-expressing cells (Liu et al. [2022](#page-16-0)), alveolar stem cells (Liu et al. [2024](#page-16-1)), etc. This statement implies that TSCs have the capacity to self-renew and diferentiate into distinct cell types, rendering them a desirable resource for regenerative therapy. The balance between self-renewal and differentiation,

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver ([http://creativecom](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/)[mons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence:

Bing Zhao

bingzhao@biogenous.cn

which contributes to the basic functions of TSCs, is regulated precisely (Liu et al. [2010](#page-16-2); Sheafer et al. [2014](#page-17-3); Ezhkova et al. [2009](#page-15-5)); however, disruption of it can lead to the failure of maintaining tissue homeostasis and restoration during regeneration, which may ultimately lead to a variety of disorders and diseases, such as cancer, in which epigenetic mechanisms (e.g., chromatin remodeling) are extremely important by proper control of gene expression at critical loci in specifc tissue stem cell type. For example, existing studies have shown that in vivo defciency of the Tets family (important epigenetic factors that regulate DNA methylation) alters the hematopoietic stem cell pool and the subsequent diferentiation program and ultimately develops into myeloid malignancies (Cimmino et al. [2015](#page-15-6); Li et al. [2011](#page-16-3)), which indicates that epigenetic factors in TSCs act as tumor suppressor genes to prevent the occurrence of tumors or disease.

Here we review the multifaceted roles of chromatin remodelers in cell fate determination on HSCs, IESCs, NSCs, skin stem cells, and MSCs based on a brief introduction to the basic function of TSCs and four subfamilies of chromatin-remodeling enzymes, and discuss the implication of epigenetic regulation during cell fate determination of TSCs.

Chromatin remodeling by chromatin remodelers

DNA and histone jointly constitute the fundamental structure of chromatin, namely the nucleosome, with the nucleosome core being composed of 147 bp of DNA wrapping around the histone octamer (Luger et al. [1997](#page-16-4)). The advancement in the study of nucleosomes and chromatin has ofered detailed insights into the landscape of chromatin organization and dynamics (Lai and Pugh [2017](#page-16-5)). The regulation of chromatin dynamics could take place at distinct epigenetic layers, such as DNA methylation (5meC), post-translational histone modifcation, chromatin remodeling, specifc histone variants, noncoding RNA, etc. As one of the major mechanisms for regulating chromatin dynamics and 3D genome structure, chromatin remodelers, these specialized ATPdependent enzymes, play crucial roles in chromatin remodeling-mediated transcription regulation, which leads to signifcant implications in development, tissue homeostasis, and regeneration, as well as diseases.

ATP‑dependent chromatin remodelers

Multicellular organisms develop from the zygote into tissues and organs with specialized cells to perform specifc functions, which are regulated by the gene-expression program facilitated by tissue-specifc regulators. The traditional view has confirmed the great potential of transcription factors as master regulators in the determination of cell fate, especially in the feld of cell reprogramming (Takahashi and Yamanaka [2006\)](#page-18-4). Furthermore, transcription factors interact with other transcription regulators to remodel chromatin, and thus to achieve the precise temporal and spatial control of gene expression programs within an organism. Chromatin remodeling mediated by chromatin remodelers plays critical roles in a variety of cellular events in the context of chromatin, including transcriptional regulation, DNA replication, and repair (Morrison and Shen [2009\)](#page-17-4), all of which are essential for mammalian development and cellular differentiation. The breakthroughs in biotechnology have made it possible for researchers to investigate the crucial role that chromatin remodeling plays in directing TSCs' choices for cell fate during a variety of biological processes from a thorough and original point of view (Buenrostro et al. [2018](#page-15-7); Tsompana and Buck [2014](#page-18-5)). As one of the epigenetic modifcations, ATP-dependent chromatin remodelers can cooperate with other types of modifcations, such as DNA methylation and histone acetyltransferases, to regulate gene transcription (Gibbons et al. [2000;](#page-15-8) Sanz et al. [2016](#page-17-5)), and chromatin remodelers use distinct mechanisms to regulate the selective transcription processes and signaling pathways, which further determine the cell fate. However, the function of chromatin remodeling-regulated tissue stem cell fate determination in tissue homeostasis and tissue repair following damage is less known. Furthermore, it is rapidly becoming clearer how chromatin remodelers collaborate with additional components to decide the fate of TSCs in a multilayered and intricate way.

Evolutionarily conserved from yeast to mammals, chromatin remodelers are categorized into four subfamilies (Ho and Crabtree [2010\)](#page-15-9), namely INO80 (inositol requiring 80), ISWI (imitation switch), CHD (chromodomain helicase DNA-binding), and SWI/SNF (switch/ sucrose non-fermentable), which assume pivotal roles in orchestrating chromatin dynamics during ontogeny development, tissue response to external stimuli, and disease progression. All chromatin remodelers possess an ATPase domain that serves as a motor for translocating DNA and diverse sets of other subunits as accessory proteins to assist the ATPase in fulflling its catalytic activity. The specific function of chromatin remodelers is to utilize energy from ATP hydrolysis to drive a DNA translocase, which leads to either the sliding or ejecting of nucleosomes from precise locations in the genome (Clapier et al. [2017\)](#page-15-10). Given that the major efects brought by chromatin remodelers are to modify the contacts between DNA and histones, diferent forms of these energy-dependent chromatin remodelers play an essential role in regulating transcription activation or repression. It is notable that chromatin remodelers exert non-redundant functions and are stringently regulated at specifc developmental stages during organogenesis and postnatal development (Hota and Bruneau [2016](#page-15-11)). Specifcally, tissues in adults seem to require a more elaborate regulatory program, which largely depends on the function of chromatin remodelers of diverse combinatorial assemblies that are diferent from the complexes in the embryonic stage in a tissue-dependent pattern. For instance, Chd7 plays a more signifcant role in the maintenance of neural stem cells during adult neurogenesis (Jones et al. [2015](#page-16-6)), based on the fact that adult neurogenesis occurs in specialized niches in the brain, such as the subgranular zone (SGZ) of the hippocampus and the subventricular zone (SVZ), and the mechanisms that govern adult neurogenesis are distinct from those in embryonic development (Feng et al. [2013;](#page-15-12) Micucci et al. [2014](#page-17-6)). Ablation of Brg1 in the surface ectoderm at E12.5 and epidermal keratinocytes does not afect their proliferation, and keratinocytes undergo normal stratifcation; however, their late terminal diferentiation is impaired, which fnally leads to postnatal death (Indra et al. [2005](#page-16-7)). Also, Brg1 has distinct roles in embryonic cardiomyocytes compared with adult cardiomyocytes to regulate gene expression shifts (Hang et al. [2010](#page-15-13)). Diferent forms of combinatorial assembly of each complex are required to guide the dynamic chromatin organization but will not be discussed in detail. Subsequently, we will focus on the fundamental functions of the four subfamilies and illustrate their predominant role in regulating chromatin accessibility.

Action model of chromatin remodelers

Although each subfamily exerts diferential efects on chromatin structure, these enzymes basically regulate nucleosome "behaviors" by infuencing nucleosome composition or positioning, which ultimately leads to the alteration of chromatin state. Specifc domains in each complex of four subfamilies perform distinct functions. For instance, the ATPase domain for each subfamily serves as the catalytic subunit to achieve DNA translocation by disrupting histone-DNA contacts (Clapier and Cairns [2009](#page-15-14)). The major function in chromatin regulation among four subfamilies is diverse, with an emphasis on the specifc action mode for each subfamily. Briefy, the ISWI and CHD subfamily remodelers are accountable for nucleosome assembly during DNA replication subsequent to the deposition of histone complexes by histone chaperones, and the stipulation to establish sliding and spacing activities is also requisite to exert their effects in transcription (Ocampo et al. 2016). The SWI/ SNF subfamily is implicated in ejecting nucleosome components or sliding nucleosomes along DNA to achieve irregular spacing, which results in accessible chromatin landscapes. For INO80 remodelers, besides their role in regulating nucleosome spacing (Udugama et al. [2011](#page-18-6)), the unique function of this subfamily to substitute canonical histones with histone variants during transcription and DNA repair could greatly alter chromatin state by infuencing factor recruitment, exclusion, and activity. For example, the SRCAP chromatin remodeling complex executes ATP-dependent activity to deposit the H2A.Z-H2B dimer into nucleosomes with the eviction of H2A-H2B for the promotion of gene expression (Liang et al. [2016](#page-16-8); Luk et al. [2010;](#page-16-9) Mizuguchi et al. [2004\)](#page-17-8). Even though these chromatin remodelers execute distinct roles in altering chromatin structure, it is reported that all these remodelers share the same mechanisms to drive DNA translocation, as demonstrated by a model proposed by Velankar et al., indicating an 'Inchworming' mechanism mediated by the ATPase domains to drive DNA translocation, whereby the shared lobe1 and lobe2 for each ATPase subunit can directly bind to the DNA and the subsequent unidirectional movement results in 1–2 bp in each ATP hydrolysis cycle (Velankar et al. [1999](#page-18-7)). The specifc mode of these chromatin-remodeling complexes prompts researchers to further report the resolved structure of them. Taking the extensively studied subfamily SWI/SNF as an example, biologists determined the higher-level resolution of this family based on the basic module using cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) (He et al. [2020](#page-15-15); Wang et al. [2022;](#page-18-8) Yuan et al. [2022](#page-19-0)), which informs the mechanisms of how subunit mutation could ultimately lead to human diseases (Mashtalir et al. [2020](#page-17-9); Valencia et al. [2019](#page-18-9); Farnung et al. [2020](#page-15-16)).

Chromatin accessibility by chromatin remodelers

The regulation of chromatin dynamics holds great signifcance for Pol II-mediated transcription, within which chromatin remodelers play essential roles in both the initiation and elongation processes (Li et al. [2007](#page-16-10)). The transcription process is largely dependent on chromatin accessibility, which is defned by the accessible regions in the genome that can perform regulatory functions for the binding of transcription factors and diverse protein factors. Chromatin accessibility is mainly regulated by histone-modifying enzymes and ATP-dependent enzymes. Histones are frequently modifed by distinct enzymes to determine chromatin structure, thereby either preventing or enabling the access of specifc proteins to chromatin (Kouzarides [2007](#page-16-11)). As aforementioned, the nucleosomal DNA translocation mediated by chromatin remodelers can also alter chromatin structure by disrupting the contacts between DNA and histones, thereby providing chromatin accessibility for gene transcription. Regarding transcription regulation, chromatin remodelers in the INO80 family regulate the process of histone variant exchange, which is crucial for controlling gene expression

Fig. 1 Chromatin remodelers are major contributors to epigenetically regulate chromatin accessibility and transcription. Nucleosomes are organized into highly condensed chromatin which makes it inaccessible to regulators controlling gene expression. Once chromatin remodelers are recruited to the specifc genome loci, lobe1 and lobe2 sequentially bind to the DNA to initiate DNA translocation, causing the DNA "wave" and the unidirectional movement of DNA around the octamer surface of 1–2 bp every cycle for ATP binding and hydrolysis, followed by the cooperation with other subunits, to the diferential results including nucleosome spacing, ejection, histone dimer eviction, and histone exchange, which fnally results in the loosening of the chromatin structure. The open chromatin confguration acts as a pre-requisite for gene transcription to allow access to transcriptional regulators. CR: chromatin remodeler; TF: transcription factor

and chromatin accessibility (Watanabe and Peterson [2010](#page-18-10)). Specifcally, the p400 and SRCAP remodelers are responsible for the incorporation of the histone variant H2A.Z into nucleosomes, thereby altering the local chromatin structure and facilitating transcription initiation and elongation. On the other hand, the INO80 subfamily of remodelers has been extensively investigated for its role in ejecting H2A.Z from nucleosomes. This mechanism is critical for maintaining the dynamic balance of H2A.Z distribution within the genome, which in turn afects the accessibility of DNA to transcriptional machinery. The removal of H2A.Z by INO80 remodelers can either repress transcription by stabilizing nucleosome occupancy or activate transcription by promoting the assembly of pre-initiation complexes at gene promoters (Martire and Banaszynski [2020\)](#page-17-10). Notably, the SWI/SNF subfamily is crucial in establishing chromatin

accessibility by sliding or evicting the nucleosome components to expose particular DNA sequences that allow the binding of the transcriptional machinery at promoters and enhancers (Boeger et al. [2004](#page-15-17); Hargreaves and Crabtree [2011\)](#page-15-13). Additionally, in transcription-associated processes, the interaction between histone-modifying enzymes and ATP-dependent enzymes involves the incorporation of diferent histone variants (Venkatesh and Workman [2015\)](#page-18-11). In a word, the landscape of chromatin structure under epigenetic control requires diferent sets of regulators to function synergistically, to jointly ensure regular dynamics of chromatin structure and organization, manifested as a change in chromatin accessibility (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)).

Given the fundamental signifcance of chromatin accessibility for RNA polymerase II-mediated transcription, DNA replication, and repair, it has been reported

that chromatin accessibility is regulated at multiple levels within the eukaryotic genome. These levels encompass nucleosome occupancy, density, and turnover, along with the involvement of linker histones and other architectural proteins, and the three-dimensional (3D) organization of the genome (Klemm et al. [2019\)](#page-16-12). During specifc stages within these biological processes, the regulation mediated by chromatin remodelers makes a substantial contribution. It is particularly interesting to understand precisely how these remodelers are implicated in regulating these processes. For example, the NuRD complex, which is one of the ISWI complexes, participates in the displacement of histone H1, which serves as a repressor of transcription. This displacement is a prerequisite for the activation of hormone-responsive genes. In this context, the NuRD complex is initially recruited by the activated progesterone receptor (PR) and subsequently facilitates the PR-mediated recruitment of Cdk2/CyclinA for the displacement of histone H1 (Vicent et al. [2011](#page-18-12)). The ISWI and CHD subfamilies perform indispensable functions in nucleosome assembly and spacing, enabling the correct organization of chromatin during DNA replication (Yadav and Whitehouse [2016](#page-18-13)). Specifcally, ISWI complexes assist in evenly spacing nucleosomes along the DNA strand, which is crucial for the proper advancement of the replication fork. Meanwhile, members of the CHD family are involved in the assembly and disassembly of nucleosomes, contributing to the maintenance of chromatin structure and accessibility. Furthermore, among the diverse pathways of DNA repair, diferent chromatin remodeler complexes exert specifc molecular activities to regulate diferent steps of the DNA damage response (DDR) (Lans et al. [2012\)](#page-16-13). For instance, SWI/SNF complexes are known to facilitate the access of repair enzymes to sites of DNA damage by altering nucleosome

positioning. Similarly, INO80 and SWR1 complexes play roles in the exchange of histone variants and the repair of double-strand breaks.

Tissue stem cells

In the context of stem cell research, pluripotent stem cells, such as embryonic stem cells (ESCs) and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs), can be stably passaged and maintained in an undiferentiated state, with the inherent potential to diferentiate into any type of somatic cell, which makes them invaluable tools for regenerative medicine, drug discovery, and disease modeling. However, this comes with certain challenges and limitations. Because they are associated with the risks of tumorigenicity, due to their unlimited proliferative capacity lack of diferentiation control, and a dearth of tissue specifcity, the therapeutic use of them is limited. In contradistinction to these cells, TSCs, also known as adult stem cells, begin their role in the 1950s (as shown in Fig. [2](#page-4-0)), and assume a critical role in tissue homeostasis and regeneration (Fu et al. [2021\)](#page-15-18). These cells are found within various tissues and organs and are responsible for maintaining the integrity and functionality of these tissues throughout an organism's lifetime. They are usually in specific microenvironments and are precisely regulated by various signaling molecules and intercellular interactions to maintain their stem cell characteristics and appropriate diferentiation capabilities. The characteristics of TSCs enable them to play a key role in the growth, repair, and maintenance of tissues. Unlike pluripotent stem cells, which can diferentiate into any cell type in the body, TSCs have a more limited diferentiation potential, typically restricted to the cell types present in their tissue of origin. This tissuespecific differentiation capacity allows them to efficiently replace damaged or lost cells while minimizing the risk of

Fig. 3 Characterization of tissue stem cells. **A** Identifying where TSCs come from is critical to understanding their properties. Adult NSCs in mammals are quiescent and have two distinct origins. In contrast to SGZ NSCs, SVZ NSCs generate from the slowly dividing neural progenitors in SVZ between E13.5-E15.5. These stem cells are reactivated in postnatal life for the production of olfactory bulb interneurons. **B** Lineage plasticity in TSCs leads to a fate transition from HFSCs to EpSCs upon injury, in which HFSCs are recruited to the epidermis to repair the tissue. **C** Tissue stem cell fate determination can be regulated by intrinsic factors. HSC quiescence is regulated by the chromatin remodeler Znhit1. **D** Tissue stem cell fate determination can be regulated by extrinsic factors. Lgr5⁺ CBCs interact with T helper cells to regulate self-renewal and differentiation into distinct lineages. EpSCs: epidermal stem cells; MHCII: major histocompatibility complex class II; TCR: T cell receptor

forming tumors, which renders the use of TSCs in translational research relatively safer (Wang et al. [2023](#page-18-14)).

Basic behavior and function of tissue stem cells

Understanding the function of specifc TSCs and the progeny they can produce within an adult context is predominantly reliant on an understanding of their developmental origin, which underpins the appropriate establishment of TSCs. For example, the generation of adult NSCs from embryonic progenitor cells seemingly follows dissimilar models; SVZ NSCs emanate from a quiescent population that is set aside in the LV during E13.5 to E15.5, while SGZ NSCs originate from continuously evolving progenitors (Cai and Yang [2019\)](#page-15-19) (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)A). Although TSCs are a rare population of stem cells in the body, they have garnered signifcant attention in the past few decades due to their tremendous potential in

regenerative medicine. These somatic stem cells exhibit shared fundamental behaviors, such as self-renewal, differentiation, quiescence, etc., which are regulated by both intrinsic cellular mechanisms and signals from specialized microenvironments. They serve as a group of resident cells with the ability to maintain tissue homeostasis and to restore and regenerate tissue responding to damage. A precisely regulated balance between the proliferation and diferentiation of TSCs is requisite for normal development. Asymmetric cell division, being one of the fundamental properties of TSCs, is infuenced by both extrinsic and intrinsic efectors and confers TSCs with the ability to balance between self-renewal and difer-entiation (Tajbakhsh et al. [2009](#page-18-15)). The immortal strand hypothesis has been proposed to suggest that the stem daughter cell always contains the older DNA to prevent possible errors during replication as asymmetric

cell divisions occurs (Rando [2007\)](#page-17-11), which is supported by long-term tracing of label-retaining cells in multiple systems (Karpowicz et al. [2005;](#page-16-14) Shinin et al. [2006](#page-17-12); Smith [2005](#page-18-16)). However, the capacity for self-renewal in stem cells is not solely dependent on this traditional model. It seems to be asymmetric at the cell population level, but not at the level of single-cell division, because each stem cell has the same probability of self-renewal or diferentiation. TSCs compete with each other to either survive or become extinct, which largely depends on the niche recording to a neutral drift model (Lopez-Garcia et al. [2010](#page-16-15)). It is reported that TSCs are often in a relatively quiescent state, which enables the detection of their existence using the long-term tracing method (Grompe [2012](#page-15-20)). The inactive state for stem cells to maintain quiescence controlled by unique features such as low metabolic activity and post-transcriptional regulation depicts signifcant potential in the regulation of stem cell quiescence (de Morree and Rando [2023\)](#page-15-21). Lgr5⁺ crypt base columnar cells (CBCs) reside in intestinal crypts and remain longterm activity, akin to HSCs, yet can constantly give rise to lineage-restricted progeny cells to maintain intestinal homeostasis (Barker et al. [2007](#page-14-0)), while stem cells at the +4 position have quiescent features and can be mobilized to restore the pool of Lgr5⁺ CBCs following irradiation-induced injury (Takeda et al. [2011\)](#page-18-17). Besides, MuSCs, liver stem cells, and HFSCs with quiescent features can also be rapidly activated at the time of injury (Dumont et al. [2015](#page-15-22); Ito et al. [2005;](#page-16-16) Tarlow et al. [2014\)](#page-18-18). Despite the diferent states of quiescent and active stem cells under specifc circumstances, the behaviors of these stem cells largely depend on the located microenvironment and the existence of both the active and quiescent populations to cooperate with each other, playing functional roles in a given tissue (Li and Clevers [2010](#page-16-17)). Remarkably, the lineage plasticity of TSCs to switch fates is well established in many tissues. For example, HFSCs that reside in the bulge area are recruited to the epidermis and reprogrammed to an interfollicular epidermis fate after injury (Ito et al. [2005](#page-16-16)) (Fig. [3B](#page-5-0)). Taken together, the fundamental function of these stem cells under diferent circumstances is to replace the lost cells in the tissue where they reside to maintain tissue integrity under homeostasis and regeneration upon injury (Post and Clevers [2019](#page-17-13)).

Regulation of tissue stem cells

Due to the rapid advancement of new tools and technologies, nearly all types of TSCs in vivo have been identifed by people through the utilization of diverse animal models to illustrate the mechanisms regarding their fundamental behaviors such as proliferation and diferentiation. Our current understanding of the regulation of TSCs originates from a multitude of studies involving mechanisms at various levels in the control of tissue stem cell functions, cell fate determination, quiescence maintenance, and tissue regeneration, among others.

It is known that TSCs, which reside in specialized environments within tissues, receive local signals for modulating their behaviors, among which many growth factors serve as important signaling molecules, cooperating with intrinsic regulators. From the perspective of the intrinsic regulation of tissue stem cell fate, discoveries have illuminated the remarkable signifcance of metabolic networks in the governing of the chromatin landscape, giving rise to profound efects on the function of TSCs to differentiate (Intlekofer and Finley [2019\)](#page-16-18). The bidirectional link between the metabolic pathways accountable for the introduction of substrates and co-factors for chromatin-modifying enzymes and the gene expression mediated by chromatin-modifying enzymes needs to be well established to avoid dysregulation (Arrowsmith et al. [2012](#page-14-2); Kaelin and McKnight [2013\)](#page-16-19). Stem cell niches are organized by specialized cells to regulate the maintenance of TSCs and their functions. For example, Th cells interact with the MHCII system in $Lgr5^+$ ISCs to modulate the maintenance of the stem cell pool and diferentiation during both homeostasis and infection (Biton et al. [2018](#page-14-3)) (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)D). Of note, the cooperation of intrinsic and extrinsic factors in the regulation of cell fate determination in TSCs often leads to diferent outcomes within a diverse context of adult tissues. As the only liquid organ in our body, the hematopoietic system requires HSCs to remain in a quiescent state to fulfill their function. The maintenance of a quiescent state could be regulated by intrinsic molecules (such as chromatin remodelers) and environmental signals, both of which are necessary to preserve genomic integrity and to maintain a poised state for activation (Cheung and Rando [2013](#page-15-23)). Signifcantly, both proliferation and dominant long-term quiescence in HSCs are under the precise control of intrinsic factors and external signals (Biermann and Reya [2022\)](#page-14-4) (Fig. [3](#page-5-0)C). Moreover, the balance between quiescence and activity in NSCs to afect the long-term maintenance of the stem cell pool and adult neurogenesis is also characterized and regulated by intrinsic and extrinsic mechanisms (Urbán et al. [2019\)](#page-18-19). TSCs like ISCs and HFSCs exposed to an external environment are more prone to damage. The maintenance of homeostasis and the ability to regenerate after injury in these cells are thus more stringently regulated by intrinsic and niche-derived regulatory signals.

Chromatin remodeling in tissue stem cell fate determination

Cell fate determination is a fundamental issue in biology. Epigenetic control of cell fate determination has been investigated in extensive tissues under diverse settings of

Table 1 Roles of SWI/SNF subfamily in tissue stem cells

regulation, among which chromatin remodeler-mediated gene expression signifcantly underpins cell fate determination. Hereinafter, the role of chromatin remodelers in the hematopoietic system, the gut, the neural system, and the skin was summarized (Tables 1 and 2), with a focus on how chromatin remodelers carry out their functions in TSCs and eventually lead to the reconfguration of tissue behavior, which offers us deeper insights into the role of chromatin remodeling in development, disease disorders, and tissue regeneration. Moreover, it will also help us further disclose how these fundamental biological processes mediated by TSCs are subjected to chromatin remodeler-controlled epigenetic regulation.

Hematopoietic stem cells

As the most extensively studied tissue-specifc stem cells, HSCs residing in the bone marrow are responsible for blood supply throughout the lifetime. The classic model of hematopoietic hierarchy depicts a stepwise process of lineage commitment, and the long-term HSCs (LT-HSCs) at the pinnacle of the hierarchy constitute a dormant population to give rise to short-term HSCs (ST-HSCs) with a restricted capacity for self-renewal within a short period. Subsequently, ST-HSCs can generate multipotent progenitors, MPPs, which further give rise to all lymphoid and myeloid lineages after active proliferation and diferentiation (Jacobsen and Nerlov [2019;](#page-16-20) Wilson et al. [2008](#page-18-20)).

For all four subfamilies among chromatin remodelers, each one has been frmly implicated in defnite roles in the regulation of HSCs' cell fate decisions up to now. Mammalian SWI/SNF complexes encompass canonical BAF (cBAF), polybromo-associated BAF (pBAF), and non-canonical BAF (ncBAF), all of which require either Brm (SMARCA2) or Brg1 (SMARCA4) as the ATPase motor (Ho and Crabtree [2010](#page-15-9)). After going through a

process known as endothelial-to-hematopoietic transition (EHT), blood progenitors give rise to HSCs during the early embryonic development stage (Patel et al. [2022](#page-17-23)). Utilizing a Brg1 knockout zebrafsh model, Tu et al. vividly demonstrated the specifc role of Brg1 in the regulation of defnitive hematopoiesis rather than primitive hematopoiesis. The binding of Brg1 to the promoter of KLF2 to activate the *klf2a*-NO signaling pathway provides a mechanism for the reduction in the number of hemogenic endothelial cells, thereby reducing lymphoid and myeloid lineages (Tu et al. [2020](#page-18-21)). In recent research, it was found that constitutive loss of Brm increased the number of HSCs. However, loss of their self-renewal capacity in transplantation led to HSC exhaustion. Although the role of Brm on HSC expansion is due to cell-extrinsic efects, it can modulate intracellular valine levels to regulate the functions of both HSCs and HPCs (Naidu et al. 2022). The proper functioning of chromatin remodelers underlies their specifc role in the cell fate of HSCs. For example, the transcripts of BAF53a (ARP) are enriched in the HSC population compared with more mature lineages in adult BM cells of the mouse hemopoietic system. Deletion of BAF53a in adult mice led to death caused by impaired CDK-dependent self-renewal of HSCs and enhanced apoptosis specifcally in myeloid progenitors (Krasteva et al. [2012](#page-16-23)). As a signature subunit of pBAF complexes, BAF45a (PHF10) defciency in

the adult hemopoietic system also led to a decreased frequency of myeloid progenitors, but the reduction in the number of LT-HSCs cannot be explained by impaired proliferation (Krasteva et al. [2017\)](#page-16-24). Loss of BAF180 in adult mice led to the depletion of the HSC pool, partly by p21-mediated premature senescence, which resulted in the impaired hematopoietic reconstitution of BAF180 KO secondary transplants (Lee et al. [2016\)](#page-16-25). Although loss of ARID2 (known as BAF200, a unique subunit of pBAF) did not reduce the frequency in both hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs), its deletion led to the diminished ability of HSPCs to diferentiate into lymphoid lineage upon transplantation without survival blockade, possibly due to the activation of infam-matory pathways (Bluemn et al. [2021](#page-15-25)). The critical role of ARID2 in lineage reconstitution during competitive transplantation has also been reported in a prior study (Liu et al. [2018](#page-16-26)). ARID1A (BAF250a) deficiency resulted in the blockade of HSC quiescence by increasing the self-renewal of the HSC population, and the ability to reconstitute and diferentiate towards multilineage is severely impaired. Also, the genes afected after ARID1A depletion are demonstrated by ATAC-seq, such as *GATA2*, *CD34*, *CEBPA*, and *CSF1*, being the key regulators of hematopoiesis (Han et al. [2019](#page-15-26)). As a paralog to ARID1A, conditional loss of ARID1B (BAF250b) in adult mice resulted in impaired myeloid cell reconstitution in

competitive transplantation experiments and did not lead to the elevated frequency of HSCs, suggesting a lesser extent of defect compared to ARID1A defciency (Madan et al. [2023](#page-16-28)). As an essential subunit in ncBAF, the bromodomain-containing Brd9 has important roles in both normal and malignant hematopoiesis, where Brd9 depletion impaired stemness of HSCs and B cell lineage development, as well as MLL AF9-induced AML initiation and progression. The authors further uncovered the key role of Brd9 in CTCF-mediated chromatin accessibility to promote myeloid diferentiation skewing by integrating multi-omics data (Xiao et al. [2023\)](#page-18-26).

Znhit1, also known as $p18^{\text{hamlet}}$, and a subunit of the SRCAP complex, is reported to be involved in maintaining the long-term self-renewal capacity of HSCs, thereby preserving their function for multilineage reconstitution. Deletion of Znhit1 in HSCs abrogates HSC quiescence by activating the Pten-PI3K-Akt pathway, consequently resulting in functional exhaustion (Sun et al. [2020\)](#page-18-32). Furthermore, Znhit1 plays a role by interacting with Pcid2 in multipotent progenitors (MPPs) to guide lymphoid lineage commitment. In *Pcid*[−]/[−] MPPs, H2A.Z deposition can be achieved at the promoters of lymphoid fate genes by SRCAP, leading to the expansion of lymphoid lineages. Through differentiation in vitro and analysis of *Znhit1^{-/-}* HSCs from reconstituted recipient mice in vivo, the authors showed us an opposite phenotype mediated by Pcid2 deficiency (Ye et al. [2017\)](#page-18-33). Tip60, being a lysine acetyltransferase of P400/Tip60 in mammals, its deletion in both the embryonic and adult stages led to the failure of cell-intrinsic regulation of HSCs via the acetyltransferase activity of Tip60 to regulate hematopoietic reconstitution and genome integrity of HSCs. The global reduction of H2A.Z acetylation and the downregulation of myc targets imply a Tip60-acH2A.Z epigenetic axis for regulating HSC maintenance (Numata et al. [2020\)](#page-17-26). In addition, deletion of p400/mDomino in the adult mouse BM resulted in a decrease in both HSCs and committed lineage cells, which is presumably due to the impaired proliferation of HSCs and apoptotic cell death (Fujii et al. [2010](#page-15-30)).

Heterozygous mutation or conditional knockout at the onset of defnitive hematopoiesis of Smarca5 can specifcally impact the development of fetal HSPCs in acquiring the ability to defnitive hematopoiesis and the subsequent maintenance of HSPC expansion and diferentiation, elucidating the Smarca5-mediated epigenetic programming in the regulation of nascent HSCs to fetal HSCs transition and the expression of critical genes in HSCs (Ding et al. [2021;](#page-15-28) Kokavec et al. [2017](#page-16-29)). Recent work presented a new model to precisely study the efect of Smarca5 graded expression by employing a model with a hypomorphic expression of Smarca5, which demonstrates the ability of *S5tg* expression to rescue the defects in early hematopoiesis, the direct effect on HSCs in a competitive transplantation experiment, and the contribution of *S5tg* to the formation of the core ISWI in a tissue-specifc manner (Turkova et al. [2024\)](#page-18-35). BPTF, as a subunit in the ISWI subfamily, plays an important role in the maintenance of HSPCs. Conditional deletion of BPTF caused marrow failure, anemia, and leukopenia by downregulating the master "stemness" genes (*Meis1*, *Pbx1*, *Mn1*, *and Lmo2*) along with reduced chromatin accessibility (Xu et al. [2018](#page-18-29)).

A variety of CHD proteins (CHD1-9) have been discovered to be linked with early embryonic development and the regulation of HSCs. CHD1 exerts its particular efects during the EHT. Deletion of CHD1 in the endothelium led to embryonic lethality at E15.5. Nevertheless, after the EHT, deletion of CHD1 seemed to be dispensable for subsequent development once the HSPC specifcation was established (Koh et al. [2015](#page-16-30)). Regarding the NuRD complex (CHD3, CHD4, and CHD5), it is reported that CHD4 regulates the self-renewal capacity and diferentiation into the erythroid lineage in a cell-intrinsic manner by modulating the expression of HSC-specifc and lineage-primed genes (Yoshida et al. [2008](#page-18-30)). For the CHD6- CHD9 subfamily, the role of CHD7 primarily in defnitive hematopoiesis, but not at the adult stage, to negatively regulate hematopoietic lineage diferentiation has been determined. Mechanistically, CHD7 collaborates with RUNX1, a master regulator during EHT, both physically and genetically, to restrain its activity (Hsu et al. [2020](#page-16-33)). Studies have illuminated the specifc role of CHD8 in the regulation of stemness maintenance in HSPCs. Furthermore, loss of P53 signifcantly rescues the diferentiation defects of HSCs to ensure normal hematopoiesis (Nita et al. [2021](#page-17-25); Tu et al. [2021](#page-18-31)).

Here, by distinguishing the functional role of all four subfamilies to regulate HSCs under proliferation or differentiation processes, a preferable role of these remodelers tends to occur in a context-dependent manner in the regulation of fate determination in HSCs.

Intestinal epithelial stem cells

Lgr5⁺ CBCs at the bottom of the crypts divide to produce progeny cells for gut homeostasis in the adult mammalian gut epithelium (Barker et al. [2007\)](#page-14-0). To validate the stemness of these Lgr5⁺ populations, a mouse model (*Lgr5-EGFP-ires-*CreERT2/R26R-lacZ) was introduced for in vivo lineage tracing (Barker et al. 2007). The lac Z^+ CBCs stochastically randomly at the crypt base progressively gave rise to lac Z^+ progeny of all intestinal lineages within 60 days, deeming $Lgr5^+$ CBCs as the truly intestinal stem cells. Binding by the R-spondins, Lgr5, and its homologs act as critical receptors in potentiating

Wnt/β-catenin signaling to regulate the functions of CBCs during normal development (Carmon et al. [2011](#page-15-31); de Lau et al. [2011](#page-15-32)). Moreover, the capacity of a single Lgr 5^+ CBC to form intact intestinal crypt-villus units further reconfrmed the stemness of Lgr5+ CBCs (Sato et al. [2009\)](#page-17-27).

Deficiency of Brg1 in small intestinal epithelium resulted in the depletion of the stem cell population, followed by compromised proliferative capacity in small intestinal stem cells, ultimately resulting in crypt ablation. It should be noted that loss of Brg1 has a negligible impact on transit-amplifying (TA) and other diferentiated cells. In contrast to Brg1-mediated self-renewal in small intestinal stem cells for the establishment of small intestinal homeostasis, the preservation of Brg1-deficient crypts in large intestinal epithelium for an extended period has shed light on the distinct role of Brg1 in a context-dependent manner (Holik et al. [2013\)](#page-15-24). A subsequent study revealed the efects of Brg1 deletion in the murine intestinal epithelium, which led to early post-natal death with impaired morphogenesis and diferentiation, could be rescued by Notch1 ICD overexpression, and further indicated the involvement of other signaling pathways due to the inability to rescue stem cell loss in duodenum (Takada et al. [2016\)](#page-18-22). Hiramatsu et al. demonstrated the essential role of one subunit of the SWI/SNF complex, Arid1a, in the regulation of self-renewal of Lgr5⁺ ISCs in the small intestine. Loss of Arid1a in intestinal epithelial cells also causes increased apoptosis and skewed diferentiation. CHIP-seq and functional assays indicate that Arid1a directly regulates Sox9 expression, which is further verifed by the restored phenotypes through Sox9 overexpression in *Villin-Cre; Arid1a*^{f/f} mice (Hiramatsu et al. [2019\)](#page-15-27). Identifed as a core member in the SWI/SNF complex and a haploinsufficient (intolerant to the loss of a single allele) tumor suppressor, Bcl11b attenuation in $Apc^{min/+}$ mice promotes intestinal tumorigenesis, which may be attributed to the increased expression of Wnt/βcatenin targets in Bcl11b-enriched Lgr5⁺ CBCs. Moreover, allelic loss and mutations in human colon cancers also strongly suggest the critical contribution of Bcl11b to human colorectal tumorigenesis (Sakamaki et al. [2015](#page-17-19)).

The INO80 subfamily also executes its function in intestinal stem cells. Chromatin remodeler Znhit1, which is a subunit of the SRCAP complex, was frst reported to participate in maintaining mammalian intestinal homeostasis and cell fate determination in 2019. Mechanistically, the Znhit1-mediated incorporation of histone variant H2A.Z at the TSS regions of Lgr5, Tgfb1, and Tgfbr2 regulates Lgr5⁺ ISCs self-renewal by supporting YL1 phosphorylation (Zhao et al. [2019\)](#page-19-1). The phenomenon that deletion of Znhit1 disrupted the postnatal generation of Lgr5⁺ ISCs indicates an important role of SRCAP-mediated intestinal crypt establishment after the completion of embryonic development. Interestingly, deletion of the catalytic subunit, SRCAP, led to impairment of self-renewal maintenance and epithelial regeneration capacity upon irradiation injury of Lgr5⁺ ISCs and demonstrated that the active SRCAP complex recruits REST to initiate the transcription of Prdm16, thereby activating PPARδ for the maintenance of ISC stemness (Ye et al. [2020\)](#page-18-34).

In the Drosophila intestine, the BAP SWI/SNF complex, defned by OSA (ARID1), assumes critical roles in the balance of ISCs between self-renewal and their differentiation into EE cells and EC cells. Specifc deletion of OSA in ISCs and EBs resulted in the expansion of ISCs, but not EBs, in the posterior midgut. Mechanistically, the OSA in ISCs promotes the diferentiation of EB to EC through the Dl-N signal axis, while the OSA governs EE diferentiation by regulating the EE cell fate regulator ASE, rather than the previously proposed notion that the N signal is required for EE cell fate determina-tion (Zeng et al. [2013](#page-19-2)). The core component of the $SWI/$ SNF complex, Brm, also plays an important role in cell fate determination of ISCs, and deletion of Brm resulted in diferentiation defects of ISCs into ECs. More importantly, Brm serves as a downstream target of the Yki-sd axis to maintain the proliferation ability of ISCs during DSS-induced intestinal regeneration, a process in which Hippo signaling exhibits direct regulatory functions (Jin et al. [2013](#page-16-34)).

In summary, chromatin remodelers have been shown to be involved with chromatin control of key genes during cell fate determination in IESCs by collaborating with transcription factors, histone variations, and signaling pathways.

Neural stem cells

In the mammalian brain (central nervous system), neurogenesis occurs at the subventricular zone (SVZ) of lateral ventricles and subgranular zone (SGZ) of the dentate gyrus (DG) in the hippocampus, which is regulated by neural stem cells (NSCs) and neural progenitor cells that fnally diferentiate into varied neural cells, including neurons and glia (astrocytes and oligodendrocytes) (Bond et al. [2015\)](#page-15-33).

The BAF complex is a notable regulator of neural development and has been implicated in performing important roles in NSCs. During the transition from neurogenesis to gliogenesis, epigenetic changes in cell fate choices for NSCs at this stage are of great importance. Disruption of BAF155 and BAF170 expression in a double knockout mouse model led to impaired proliferation and specifcation of oligodendrocyte precursors, which fnally afected the production of oligodendrocytes in the mouse forebrain (Abbas et al. [2021](#page-14-6)). The role of double-conditional knockout of BAF155 and BAF170 in the regulation of the balance between self-renewal and proliferation in NSCs has also been implicated in a prior study (Nguyen et al. [2018](#page-17-22)). Conditional knockout of BAF170 in adult neurogenesis broke the maintenance of NSCs to diferentiate into astrocytes rather than neuronal progenitors (Tuoc et al. [2017\)](#page-18-27). As a subunit in the SWI/SNF complex, Bcl11b is required for both embryonic and adult neurogenesis (Simon et al. [2012,](#page-17-20) [2016\)](#page-17-21). It is worth mentioning that Brg1 is specifcally expressed in cortical SVZ between E14 and birth, and loss of Brg1 did not afect early neuronal diferentiation in the mouse brain. However, once committed to neuronal lineages, Brg1 deficiency in these NSCs could result in consequent diferentiation failure. Specifcally, Brg1 loss of function blocks the diferentiation of NSCs into astrocytes and some oligodendrocytes, rather than the maintenance of survival after the establishment of astrocyte diferentia-tion (Matsumoto et al. [2006](#page-17-14)). The critical role of Brg1 has also been investigated during the early stage of neurogenesis rather than the postnatal stage of NSC maintenance (Jin et al. [2022](#page-16-22)), and the process by which Brg1 acts to regulate the stemness of NSC is large via a p53/ p21-dependent process (Petrik et al. [2015\)](#page-17-15). What's more, Brg1 directly interacts with the Olig2 promoter and represses its expression in neurogenic progenitor cells, and loss of Brg1 in NPCs leads to ectopic Olig2 expression in the cortex, and diminished ability to diferentiate into oligodendrocyte lineage cells, which indicates a distinct role for Brg1 in the specifcation and diferentiation of OPCs, respectively (Matsumoto et al. [2016](#page-17-16)). This work well extends the role of SWI/SNF complex in oligodendrocyte diferentiation and maturation described in a previous study, where Brg1 is recruited by the determination factor Olig2 to cis-regulatory elements of essential factors responsible for oligodendrocyte diferentiation, such as $Sox10$ (Yu et al. 2013). Contrary to the above conclusion that Brg1 is required for oligodendrocyte differentiation, one study suggested that Brg1 is dispensable for the diferentiation and maturation of oligodendrocytes. Nevertheless, Brg1 did have a role in regulating the number of oligodendrocytes at an early stage. The diferent conclusions may be due to the cre driver lines the authors used in their studies (Bischof et al. [2015](#page-14-7)). Deletion of Brg1 caused the diferentiation conversion of adult NSCs from neuronal progenitors to glia, highlighting an important role for the PAX6-BAF complex in the initiation of a regulatory network essential for the maintenance of the neurogenic lineage in the adult brain (Ninkovic et al. [2013\)](#page-17-17). Regarding developmental disorders, the BAF complex is implicated in the prevention of miR-9 loss in NSCs following ethanol exposure, which

provides important insights into further access to the role of the BAF complex in prenatal alcohol exposure (Bur-rowes et al. [2017\)](#page-15-34). Except for the core part of the BAF complex, Brg1, other compartments in Brg1-containing BAF complex, like BAF250A (ARID1A), also perform an important role in neurogenesis, during which conditional knockout of ARID1A in forebrain neural stem/ progenitor cells led to the inhibition of proliferation in radial glial progenitors and their diferentiation (Liu et al. [2021](#page-16-27)). Notably, the disruption of ARID1A perturbed the release of PRG3 in microglia (resident macrophages in the central nervous system), leading to dysregulation of the self-renewal and diferentiation of neural progenitors, which fnally led to autism-like behaviors at later stages (Su et al. [2024\)](#page-18-25). A component of the BAF complex, BCL7A, plays critical roles in regulating neurogenesis by potentiating Wnt signaling and mitochondrial bioenergetics in neural progenitor cells, and in supporting animal behavioral performance (Wischhof et al. [2022\)](#page-18-28). By performing whole-exome sequencing in a large patient cohort of a total of 2697 patients as well as using a Xenopus tropicalis model of congenital hydrocephalus, Singh et al. suggested that SMARCC1 is important for human congenital hydrocephalus pathogenesis, supported by a "neural stem cell" paradigm (Furey et al. [2018](#page-15-35); Singh et al. [2024](#page-17-28)). What is worth mentioning is that the subunit exchange of chromatin remodeler during neurogenesis is of great signifcance in neural development (Lessard et al. [2007](#page-16-35)).

CHD7, with its high expression level in the adult mouse brain, conditional loss of Chd7 in active NSCs in both the SVZ and SGZ regions led to defects in neurogenesis. Furthermore, CHD7 keeps the promoters of essential regulators, Sox9 and Sox11, in an open chromatin structure, and overexpression of either of these transcription factors could largely rescue the aberrant diferentiation (Feng et al. [2013\)](#page-15-12). Consistent with CHD7 being required for adult neurogenesis, CHD7 is essential for the proliferation and diferentiation of NSCs in the SVZ during both embryonic and adult neurogenesis by directly regulating the RA receptor. Modulation of RA signaling can attenuate the defects in the absence of Chd7, which suggests possible curative therapies for CHARGE-related defects (Micucci et al. [2014](#page-17-6)). What's more, CHD7 also participated in the maintenance of NSC quiescence to prevent the conversion of these NSCs into immature lineagerestricted progenitors. Mechanistically, Chd7 regulated the notch gene Hes5 to induce NSC quiescence, and loss of Chd7 led to the downregulation of Hes5 and the subsequent depletion of the NSC pool (Jones et al. [2015\)](#page-16-6). In adult brains, CHD8, the high-confdence autism gene, is required for neurogenesis via intermediate progenitor cells (IPCs) in both forebrain SVZ and hippocampal SGZ rather than the survival of NSCs (Dong et al. [2022](#page-15-29)). Additionally, the dynamic regulation of CHD7/CHD8, in cooperation with Olig2/Sox10, in the regulation of oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) proliferation, survival, diferentiation, lineage progression, and maturation during neurogenesis shed light on the very specifc temporary-dependent role of chromatin remodelers in cell fate determination (Marie et al. [2018\)](#page-17-24).

Taken together, both the SWI/SNF and CHD subfamilies have been implicated to function in NSCs during development and throughout adulthood to control the precise developmental timing and cell fate determination, whereas it could lead to disorders when these factors are disrupted.

Skin stem cells

Our skin system consists of the epidermis, dermis, hair follicle, and sebaceous gland. Stem cells that reside in the basal layer of the interfollicular epidermis (IFE) and the hair follicle bulge in the adult skin are responsible for the rapid turnover of the mammalian epidermis. During hair formation in each regenerative cycle, dynamics regulation of growth, regression, and quiescence is controlled by hair follicle stem cells (Blanpain and Fuchs [2006](#page-14-8)). It is noted that the label-retaining hair follicular epithelial stem cells in the bulge could generate all bugle structure and also IFE whenever after damage or being transplanted (Ito et al. [2005\)](#page-16-16); nevertheless, under homeostasis, they could only generate the bulge part, which makes the keratinocyte a more functional cell type under diferent conditions, with the emphasis on its ability as a true quiescent stem cell whenever needed to be activated upon injury.

ACTL6a, also known as BAF53a; deletion of ACTL6a in adult epidermis led to loss of maintenance of epidermal progenitors and derepressed the activation of diferentiation genes, such as KLF4. Under homeostasis, ACTL6a maintains the progenitor state by abrogating the function of SWI/SNF to bind to the promoters of diferentiation genes (Bao et al. [2013](#page-14-5)). Given the important role of hair follicle stem cells in giving rise to all epidermal compartments, studies have focused on the mechanisms for hair regeneration. Another study showed that Brg1 is required for hair regeneration under homeostasis and during repair. Postnatal deletion of Brg1 caused impaired hair regeneration during growth due to the lack of a stem cell pool. Mechanistically, this feedback loop between Brg1 and Shh, in which dynamics regulation of Brg1 expression is partly determined by Shh signals through Gli and activation of Shh expression is dependent on the cooperation of Brg1 and NF-kB at the Shh promotor (Xiong et al. [2013\)](#page-18-23). As a direct transcriptional target gene of the lineage-specifc transcription factor p63, Brg1 makes a substantial contribution to the diferentiation of epithelial progenitor cells during development by regulating the relocation of the epidermal diferentiation complex EDC towards the nuclear interior and gene expression within the EDC locus, cooperating with other important tran-scription factors (Mardaryev et al. [2014](#page-16-21)). Also, the depletion of Mi-2β (CHD4) at diferent early embryonic stages reveals a requirement for Mi-2β at three critical transitions: the self-renewal capacity of epidermal precursors in the basal epidermis, the fate conversion of the basal epidermal cell to the follicular cells, and the subsequent diferentiation of follicular progenitors to matrix stem cells (Kashiwagi et al. [2007](#page-16-31)).

Mesenchymal stem cells

Mesenchymal stem cells of adult or embryonic sources are conferred a distinct ability to diferentiate into multiple lineages, mesodermal lineage includes adipocytes, osteocytes, and chondrocytes from adults, in which the bone marrow is the main type of MSCs with a great ability to diferentiate towards the mesodermal lineage (Méndez-Ferrer et al. [2010](#page-17-29); Muguruma et al. [2006\)](#page-17-30).

For the induction of osteocyte and adipocyte lineages, the SWI/SNF subfamily is responsible for their diferentiation. Studies have shown the potential of chromatin remodelers to improve the differentiation efficiency of MSC. Brg1 participates in forming transcriptionally active complexes together with p300, which can be stabled by phosphorylation of Osx by p38 at Ser-73/77 (Ortuño et al. 2010). The role of the pBAF complex and pbrm1 in MSC osteogenic diferentiation reveals their importance in integrating BMP/TGF-b signaling and chromatin remodeling to regulate osteogenesis and hematopoiesis (Sinha et al. [2020](#page-18-36)). Overexpression of Brg1 contributes to the diferentiation of MSC into adipocytes (Napolitano et al. [2007\)](#page-17-32). Besides, the CHD subfamily and ISWI subfamily have also been implicated in the osteogenesis of MSC. CHD1 has been reported to regulate transcriptional changes during osteogenic diferentiation of MSC. Depletion of CHD1 resulted in suppression of diferentiation-activated genes in osteoblasts as well as adipocytes due to increased pausing of RNA Polymerase II (RNAPII) and decreased H2A.Z occupancy close to the TSS (Baumgart et al. [2017](#page-14-9)). For CHD7, its role in osteogenic diferentiation of MSC to interact with SMAD1 could increase osteogenic ability (Chen et al. [2016\)](#page-15-36). The osteogenic capability of MSC is compromised by silencing INO80 both in vitro and in vivo (Zhou et al. [2016](#page-19-3)).

In a word, by modifying ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complexes, people enhance the efficiency of diferentiating MSCs into a variety of cell types. Still, more research is needed.

Conclusions

A key characteristic of TSCs is their signifcant role in maintaining tissue homeostasis. Their importance in restoring tissue integrity has made them the most potent source in regeneration medicine. Compared to ESCs, TSCs show greater safety and ease of manipulation and can more accurately diferentiate into a specifc organ or tissue without immunological rejection. However, the regulatory mechanisms governing these processes remain nebulous. What constitutes their fundamental ability to restore tissue loss, and what are the similarities among distinct subpopulations of stem cells? Informative messages can be readily disregarded without the precise simulation of tissue injury and the corresponding cellular behaviors as manifested in biological contexts. The exploration of the characterization of TSCs by the next generation is extremely important to comprehensively identify the specifc regulators modulating their behaviors at a given developmental time.

Epigenetic regulation of cell fate decisions plays a critical role for TSCs during development, the maintenance of homeostasis, and the protection of individuals against diseases. These regulatory mechanisms constitute important modulators that guide cellular behavior, ensuring proper tissue function and repair. The promotive role of chromatin organization regulators in regulating other contributors, such as DNA methylation (Jeddeloh et al. [1999](#page-16-36)), possesses considerable importance in eukaryotic gene regulation. Studies have revealed the activities of DNA methylation and other histone modifcations in TSCs (Avgustinova and Benitah [2016\)](#page-14-10), and additional research is warranted to fulfll the molecular basis of chromatin remodeling by chromatin remodelers in the regulation of TSCs. Tools developed in recent years, such as Hi-C, have helped us discover more and more possible participating factors in chromatin factors-mediated regulation of cell fate determination of TSCs (Takayama et al. [2021\)](#page-18-37). These technologies will undoubtedly assist in deciphering the "epigenetic code" in TSCs. Chromatin remodelers play a crucial role in development, diseases and regeneration, and the basic mechanism underlying the regulation by four subfamilies is their ability to change chromatin states, thus enabling the transcription machinery to be accessible to chromatin. Diferent subfamilies exert their specifc efects in regulating tissue stem cell fate determination in developmental stages by modulating distinct regulators in a given tissue. In the regulation of TSCs, ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling can modulate the fate of these cells through dosage-dependent (Krasteva et al. [2017](#page-16-24)) and haploinsufficiency (Sakamaki et al. [2015\)](#page-17-19) mechanisms, consistent with their role in the development of disease (Morrill and Amon [2019;](#page-17-33) Rice and McLysaght 2017). This suggests the need to investigate the dosage sensitivity of critical subunits in the context of TSCs to understand their specifc roles in disease and to develop precise targets for therapeutic intervention. Notably, the fact that these complexes are combinatorially assembled from diferent subunits into one subfamily or diferent subfamilies has endowed them with multitasking potential in the regulation of appropriate control of gene expression to enable the cell fate determination of specifc lineages. Besides, the four families of chromatin remodeling complexes are typically genetically non-redundant in mammals. Loss-of-function mutations in one gene could lead to adverse efects, particularly during early embryonic development (Marfella et al. [2006;](#page-17-35) Bernier et al. [2014](#page-14-11)). From the perspective of TSCs, loss of function of these critical subunits indeed impacts the function of multiple types of stem cells and ultimately leads to diseases, which helps us identify important subunits that afect TSCs to better establish a foundation for further research. On another level, these chromatin remodelers mediate diferent biological processes involved in the regulation of stem cell functions, either directly or indirectly infuencing the subsequent gene transcription, which requires the participation of a large number of other factors. It is important to note that the intricate crosstalk between chromatin remodelers and other factors is perceived as relatively rudimentary, far from comprehensively fgured out, and remains to be investigated. Being implicated in many disorders, for example, a recent study indicated that a competitive advantage in SRCAP mutant HSCs enriched in patients following genotoxic stress led to the development of clonal hematopoiesis with a lymphoid-biased expansion (Chen et al. [2023](#page-15-37)), the precise modulation by chromatin remodelers is a critical determinant in the fate control of TSCs, which can tell us that the dysfunction of chromatin remodelers could lead to the disruption of tissue homeostasis, predisposing individuals to disorders, developmental diseases and cancer. Future genetic studies will elucidate how the chromatin remodelers coupled with other chromatin remodeling proteins work together in tissue stem cell fate determination, which can provide potential strategies for diseases driven by chromatin remodeling defects, more thought should be given to how to develop more personalized and efective treatment strategies based on an in-depth understanding of chromatin remodeling and regulatory mechanisms, such as using new imaging technologies (Lucignani et al. [2006](#page-16-37); Ertl et al. [2014\)](#page-15-38) to monitor the activities and changes of TSCs more accurately or using gene editing techniques

(Maeder et al. [2016](#page-16-38)) to correct abnormal genes in TSCs more directly, thereby providing a real-time basis for the formulation of treatment plans. TSCs reside in specifc organ such as bone marrow-derived MSCs have shown therapeutic efects with their broad properties such as multilineage diferentiation and easy isolation, which makes them a suitable source for cell therapy to improve diseases and regeneration (Margiana et al. [2022\)](#page-17-36). A comprehensive understanding of chromatin remodelers that govern tissue stem cell fate determination, along with the consequences of their disruptions by using animal models, is imperative for developing efective therapeutic interventions to target chromatin remodelers, particularly the SWI/SNF complex, that are mutated in human cancers. Numerous drugs aimed at these mutations have demonstrated encouraging outcomes in preclinical tumor models and clinical advancements include FDA-approved EZH2 inhibitors for cancers with SMARCB1 mutations and more targets are now identifed through genome-wide screens for clinical trials (Malone and Roberts [2024](#page-16-39)). Therefore, the roles of chromatin remodelers in TSCs help us further understand how the enhanced or reduced activity of specifc subunit mutation in select cell populations promotes diseases and approaches for targeting the structure and function of chromatin remodelers are developed to enhance therapeutic benefts (Centore et al. [2020](#page-15-39)).

Abbreviations

Acknowledgements

We apologize for the references not being cited due to space limitations and thank lab members for their suggestions in preparation for the review.

Authors' contributions

X.L. and G.Z. drafted the manuscript, B.Z. edited the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82372663), the Key Research and Development Program of Jiangxi Province (20232BBG70024), the Key Research and Development Program of Yunnan Province (202302AA310024), and the Natural Science

Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR2023LSW008) and the Faculty Resources Project of College of Life Sciences (Inner Mongolia University 2022−103).

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declared no confict of interest.

Received: 19 June 2024 Accepted: 22 September 2024 Published online: 30 September 2024

References

- Abbas E, Hassan MA, Sokpor G, Kiszka K, Pham L, Kerimoglu C, Fischer A, Nguyen HP, Staiger JF, Tuoc T. Conditional loss of BAF (mSWI/SNF) scaffolding subunits affects specification and proliferation of oligodendrocyte precursors in developing mouse forebrain. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2021;9: 619538. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.619538.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.619538)
- Arrowsmith CH, Bountra C, Fish PV, Lee K, Schapira M. Epigenetic protein families: a new frontier for drug discovery. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2012;11:384–400.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd3674>.
- Avgustinova A, Benitah SA. Epigenetic control of adult stem cell function. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2016;17:643–58. [https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2016.76.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2016.76)
- Bao X, Tang J, Lopez-Pajares V, Tao S, Qu K, Crabtree GR, Khavari PA. ACTL6a enforces the epidermal progenitor state by suppressing SWI/SNFdependent induction of KLF4. Cell Stem Cell. 2013;12:193–203. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2012.12.014) doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2012.12.014.
- Barker N, van Es JH, Kuipers J, Kujala P, van den Born M, Cozijnsen M, Haegebarth A, Korving J, Begthel H, Peters PJ, et al. Identifcation of stem cells in small intestine and colon by marker gene Lgr5. Nature. 2007;449:1003–7.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06196>.
- Baumgart SJ, Najafova Z, Hossan T, Xie W, Nagarajan S, Kari V, et al. CHD1 regulates cell fate determination by activation of diferentiation-induced genes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45:7722–35. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx377) [gkx377.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx377)
- Bernier R, Golzio C, Xiong B, Stessman HA, Coe BP, Penn O, Witherspoon K, Gerdts J, Baker C, Vulto-van Silfhout A, et al. Disruptive CHD8 mutations defne a subtype of autism early in development. Cell. 2014;158:263–76. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.06.017.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.06.017)
- Biasco L, Pellin D, Scala S, Dionisio F, Basso-Ricci L, Leonardelli L, Scaramuzza S, Baricordi C, Ferrua F, Cicalese MP, et al. In vivo tracking of human hematopoiesis reveals patterns of clonal dynamics during early and steadystate reconstitution phases. Cell Stem Cell. 2016;19:107–19. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2016.04.016) [org/10.1016/j.stem.2016.04.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2016.04.016).
- Biermann M, Reya T. Hematopoietic stem cells and regeneration. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2022;14: a040774. [https://doi.org/10.1101/cshpe](https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a040774) [rspect.a040774](https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a040774).
- Bischof M, Weider M, Küspert M, Nave KA, Wegner M. Brg1-dependent chromatin remodelling is not essentially required during oligodendroglial diferentiation. J Neurosci. 2015;35:21–35. [https://doi.org/10.1523/jneur](https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.1468-14.2015) [osci.1468-14.2015](https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.1468-14.2015).
- Biton M, Haber AL, Rogel N, Burgin G, Beyaz S, Schnell A, Ashenberg O, Su CW, Smillie C, Shekhar K, et al. T helper cell cytokines modulate intestinal stem cell renewal and diferentiation. Cell. 2018;175:1307–e13201322. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.10.008.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.10.008)
- Blanpain C, Fuchs E. Epidermal stem cells of the skin. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2006;22:339–73. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.22.010305.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.22.010305.104357) [104357](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.22.010305.104357).

Bluemn T, Schmitz J, Chen Y, Zheng Y, Zhang Y, Zheng S, Burns R, DeJong J, Christiansen L, Izaguirre-Carbonell J, et al. Arid2 regulates hematopoietic stem cell diferentiation in normal hematopoiesis. Exp Hematol. 2021;94:37–46.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exphem.2020.12.004>.

- Boeger H, Griesenbeck J, Strattan JS, Kornberg RD. Removal of promoter nucleosomes by disassembly rather than sliding in vivo. Mol Cell. 2004;14:667–73. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2004.05.013.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2004.05.013)
- Bond AM, Ming GL, Song H. Adult mammalian neural stem cells and neurogenesis: fve decades later. Cell Stem Cell. 2015;17:385–95. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2015.09.003) [org/10.1016/j.stem.2015.09.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2015.09.003).
- Buenrostro JD, Corces MR, Lareau CA, Wu B, Schep AN, Aryee MJ, Majeti R, Chang HY, Greenleaf WJ. Integrated single-cell analysis maps the continuous regulatory landscape of human hematopoietic diferentiation. Cell. 2018;173:1535–e15481516. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.074) [03.074.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.074)
- Burrowes SG, Salem NA, Tseng AM, Balaraman S, Pinson MR, Garcia C, Miranda RC. The BAF (BRG1/BRM-Associated factor) chromatin-remodeling complex exhibits ethanol sensitivity in fetal neural progenitor cells and regulates transcription at the mir-9-2 encoding gene locus. Alcohol. 2017;60:149–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.alcohol.2017.01.003>.
- Cai Y, Yang Z. Adult neural stem cells: constant extension from embryonic ancestors. Neurosci Bull. 2019;35:1120–2. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12264-019-00396-3) [s12264-019-00396-3.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12264-019-00396-3)
- Carmon KS, Gong X, Lin Q, Thomas A, Liu Q. R-spondins function as ligands of the orphan receptors LGR4 and LGR5 to regulate Wnt/beta-catenin signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108:11452–7. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1106083108) [10.1073/pnas.1106083108](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1106083108).
- Centore R.C., Sandoval G.J., Soares L.M.M., Kadoch C., Chan H.M. Mammalian SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complexes: emerging mechanisms and therapeutic strategies. Trends Genet. 2020;36:936–50. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2020.07.011) [org/10.1016/j.tig.2020.07.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2020.07.011).
- Chen Y, Wang M, Chen D, Wang J, Kang N. Chromatin remodeling enzyme CHD7 is necessary for osteogenesis of human mesenchymal stem cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2016;478:1588–93. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09130) [1038/nature09130](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09130).
- Chen CW, Zhang L, Dutta R, Niroula A, Miller PG, Gibson CJ, Bick AG, Reyes JM, Lee YT, Tovy A, et al. SRCAP mutations drive clonal hematopoiesis through epigenetic and DNA repair dysregulation. Cell Stem Cell. 2023;30:1503–e15191508. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2023.09.011.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2023.09.011)
- Cheung TH, Rando TA. Molecular regulation of stem cell quiescence. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2013;14:329–40.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3591>.
- Cimmino L, Dawlaty MM, Ndiaye-Lobry D, Yap YS, Bakogianni S, Yu Y, Bhattacharyya S, Shaknovich R, Geng H, Lobry C, et al. TET1 is a tumor suppressor of hematopoietic malignancy. Nat Immunol. 2015;16:653–62. [https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3148.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3148)
- Clapier CR, Cairns BR. The biology of chromatin remodeling complexes. Annu Rev Biochem. 2009;78:273–304. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2017.26>.
- Clapier CR, Iwasa J, Cairns BR, Peterson CL. Mechanisms of action and regulation of ATP-dependent chromatin-remodelling complexes. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2017;18:407–22.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2017.26>.
- Colter DC, Sekiya I, Prockop DJ. Identifcation of a subpopulation of rapidly self-renewing and multipotential adult stem cells in colonies of human marrow stromal cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98:7841–5. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.141221698) doi.org/10.1073/pnas.141221698.
- Cotsarelis G, Sun TT, Lavker RM. Label-retaining cells reside in the bulge area of pilosebaceous unit: implications for follicular stem cells, hair cycle, and skin carcinogenesis. Cell. 1990;61:1329–37. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(90)90696-c) [0092-8674\(90\)90696-c.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(90)90696-c)
- De Lau W, Barker N, Low TY, Koo BK, Li VS, Teunissen H, et al. Lgr5 homologues associate with wnt receptors and mediate R-spondin signalling. Nature. 2011;476:293–7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10337>.
- De Morree A, Rando TA. Regulation of adult stem cell quiescence and its functions in the maintenance of tissue integrity. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2023;24:334–54. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-022-00568-6>.
- Ding Y, Wang W, Ma D, Liang G, Kang Z, Xue Y, Zhang Y, Wang L, Heng J, Zhang Y, et al. Smarca5-mediated epigenetic programming facilitates fetal HSPC development in vertebrates. Blood. 2021;137:190–202. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2020005219) doi.org/10.1182/blood.2020005219.
- Dong C, Zhao C, Chen X, Berry K, Wang J, Zhang F, Liao Y, Han R, Ogurek S, Xu L, et al. Conserved and distinct functions of the autism-related chromatin remodeler CHD8 in embryonic and adult Forebrain Neurogenesis. J

Neurosci. 2022;42:8373–92. [https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.2400-21.](https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.2400-21.2022) [2022.](https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.2400-21.2022)

- Dumont NA, Bentzinger CF, Sincennes MC, Rudnicki MA. Satellite cells and skeletal muscle regeneration. Compr Physiol. 2015;5:1027–59. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/cphy.c140068) doi.org/10.1002/cphy.c140068.
- Ertl P, Sticker D, Charwat V, Kasper C, Lepperdinger G. Lab-on-a-chip technologies for stem cell analysis. Trends Biotechnol. 2014;32:245–53. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2014.03.004) [doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2014.03.004.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2014.03.004)
- Essawy M, Shouman S, Magdy S, Abdelfattah-Hassan A, El-Badri N. Introduction and basic concepts in stem cell research and therapy: the facts and the hype. In: El-Badri N, editor. Regenerative medicine and stem cell biology; 2020. pp. 1–21.<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-55359-3>
- Ezhkova E., Pasolli H. A., Parker J. S., Stokes N., Su I.-hsin, Hannon G., et al. Ezh2 orchestrates gene expression for the stepwise diferentiation of tissuespecifc stem cells. Cell. 2009;136:1122–35. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2008.12.043) [2008.12.043.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2008.12.043)
- Farnung L, Ochmann M, Cramer P. Nucleosome-CHD4 chromatin remodeler structure maps human disease mutations. Elife. 2020;9: e56178. [https://](https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.56178) [doi.org/10.7554/elife.56178.](https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.56178)
- Feng W, Khan MA, Bellvis P, Zhu Z, Bernhardt O, Herold-Mende C, Liu HK. The chromatin remodeler CHD7 regulates adult neurogenesis via activation of SoxC transcription factors. Cell Stem Cell. 2013;13:62–72. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2013.05.002) [org/10.1016/j.stem.2013.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2013.05.002).
- Fu X, He Q, Tao Y, Wang M, Wang W, Wang Y, Yu QC, Zhang F, Zhang X, Chen YG, et al. Recent advances in tissue stem cells. Sci China Life Sci. 2021;64:1998–2029. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11427-021-2007-8.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11427-021-2007-8)
- Fujii T, Ueda T, Nagata S, Fukunaga R. Essential role of p400/mDomino chromatin-remodeling ATPase in bone marrow hematopoiesis and cell-cycle progression. J Biol Chem. 2010;285:30214–23. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m110.104513) [10.1074/jbc.m110.104513.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m110.104513)
- Furey CG, Choi J, Jin SC, Zeng X, Timberlake AT, Nelson-Williams C, Mansuri MS, Lu Q, Duran D, Panchagnula S, et al. De novo mutation in genes regulating neural stem cell fate in human congenital hydrocephalus. Neuron. 2018;99:302–e314304. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.06.019.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.06.019)
- Gibbons RJ, McDowell TL, Raman S, O'Rourke DM, Garrick D, Ayyub H, Higgs DR. Mutations in ATRX, encoding a SWI/SNF-like protein, cause diverse changes in the pattern of DNA methylation. Nat Genet. 2000;24:368–71. <https://doi.org/10.1038/74191>.
- Grompe M. Tissue stem cells: new tools and functional diversity. Cell Stem Cell. 2012;10:685–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2012.04.006.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2012.04.006)
- Guo W, Li L, He J, Liu Z, Han M, Li F, Xia X, Zhang X, Zhu Y, Wei Y, et al. Single-cell transcriptomics identifes a distinct luminal progenitor cell type in distal prostate invagination tips. Nat Genet. 2020;52:908–18. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-020-0642-1) [10.1038/s41588-020-0642-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-020-0642-1).
- Han L, Madan V, Mayakonda A, Dakle P, Woon TW, Shyamsunder P, Nordin HBM, Cao Z, Sundaresan J, Lei I, et al. Chromatin remodeling mediated by ARID1A is indispensable for normal hematopoiesis in mice. Leukemia. 2019;33:2291–305.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41375-019-0438-4>.
- Hang CT, Yang J, Han P, Cheng H-L, Shang C, Ashley E, Zhou B, Chang C-P. Chromatin regulation by Brg1 underlies heart muscle development and disease. Nature. 2010;466:62–7. [https://doi.org/10.1038/natur](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09130) [e09130](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09130).
- Hargreaves DC, Crabtree GR. ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling: genetics, genomics and mechanisms. Cell Res. 2011;21:396–420. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2011.32) [10.1038/cr.2011.32.](https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2011.32)
- He S, Wu Z, Tian Y, Yu Z, Yu J, Wang X, Li J, Liu B, Xu Y. Structure of nucleosomebound human BAF complex. Science. 2020;367:875–81. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz9761) [10.1126/science.aaz9761](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz9761).
- He L, Pu W, Liu X, Zhang Z, Han M, Li Y, Huang X, Han X, Li Y, Liu K, et al. Proliferation tracing reveals regional hepatocyte generation in liver homeostasis and repair. Science. 2021;371: eabc4346. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc4346) [10.1126/science.abc4346](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc4346).
- Hiramatsu Y, Fukuda A, Ogawa S, Goto N, Ikuta K, Tsuda M, Matsumoto Y, Kimura Y, Yoshioka T, Takada Y, et al. Arid1a is essential for intestinal stem cells through Sox9 regulation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2019;116:1704– 13. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1804858116.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1804858116)
- Ho L, Crabtree GR. Chromatin remodelling during development. Nature. 2010;463:474–84.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08911>.
- Holik AZ, Krzystyniak J, Young M, Richardson K, Jardé T, Chambon P, Shorning BY, Clarke AR. Brg1 is required for stem cell maintenance in the

murine intestinal epithelium in a tissue-specifc manner. Stem Cells. 2013;31:2457–66.<https://doi.org/10.1002/stem.1498>.

- Hota SK, Bruneau BG. ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling during mammalian development. Development. 2016;143:2882–97. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.128892) [10.1242/dev.128892](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.128892).
- Hsu J, Huang HT, Lee CT, Choudhuri A, Wilson NK, Abraham BJ, Moignard V, Kucinski I, Yu S, Hyde RK, et al. CHD7 and Runx1 interaction provides a braking mechanism for hematopoietic diferentiation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020;117:23626–35. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.20032](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2003228117) [28117](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2003228117).
- Indra AK, Dupé V, Bornert JM, Messaddeq N, Yaniv M, Mark M, et al. Temporally controlled targeted somatic mutagenesis in embryonic surface ectoderm and fetal epidermal keratinocytes unveils two distinct developmental functions of BRG1 in limb morphogenesis and skin barrier formation. Dev Camb Engl. 2005;132:4533-4544. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.02019) [10.1242/dev.02019.](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.02019)
- Intlekofer AM, Finley LWS. Metabolic signatures of cancer cells and stem cells. Nat Metab. 2019;1:177–88.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s42255-019-0032-0>.
- Ito M, Liu Y, Yang Z, Nguyen J, Liang F, Morris RJ, Cotsarelis G. Stem cells in the hair follicle bulge contribute to wound repair but not to homeostasis of the epidermis. Nat Med. 2005;11:1351–4. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1328) [nm1328.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1328)
- Jacobsen SEW, Nerlov C. Haematopoiesis in the era of advanced single-cell technologies. Nat Cell Biol. 2019;21:2–8. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-018-0227-8) [s41556-018-0227-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-018-0227-8).
- Jeddeloh JA, Stokes TL, Richards EJ. Maintenance of genomic methylation requires a SWI2/SNF2-like protein. Nat Genet. 1999;22:94–7. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/8803) [org/10.1038/8803.](https://doi.org/10.1038/8803)
- Jin Y, Xu J, Yin MX, Lu Y, Hu L, Li P, Zhang P, Yuan Z, Ho MS, Ji H, et al. Brahma is essential for Drosophila intestinal stem cell proliferation and regulated by Hippo signaling. Elife. 2013;2: e00999. [https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.](https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.00999) [00999](https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.00999).
- Jin Y, Gao X, Lu M, Chen G, Yang X, Ren N, Song Y, Hou C, Li J, Liu Q, et al. Loss of BAF (mSWI/SNF) chromatin-remodeling ATPase Brg1 causes multiple malformations of cortical development in mice. Hum Mol Genet. 2022;31:3504–20. [https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddac127.](https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddac127)
- Jones KM, Sarić N, Russell JP, Andoniadou CL, Scambler PJ, Basson MA. CHD7 maintains neural stem cell quiescence and prevents premature stem cell depletion in the adult hippocampus. Stem Cells. 2015;33:196– 210. [https://doi.org/10.1002/stem.1822.](https://doi.org/10.1002/stem.1822)
- Kaelin WG Jr, McKnight SL. Infuence of metabolism on epigenetics and disease. Cell. 2013;153:56–69. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.004.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.004)
- Karpowicz P, Morshead C, Kam A, Jervis E, Ramunas J, V Cheng, van der Kooy D. Support for the immortal strand hypothesis: neural stem cells partition DNA asymmetrically in vitro. J Cell Biol. 2005;170:721– 32. [https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200502073.](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200502073)
- Kashiwagi M, Morgan BA, Georgopoulos K. The chromatin remodeler Mi-2beta is required for establishment of the basal epidermis and normal diferentiation of its progeny. Development. 2007;134:1571– 82. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.001750>.
- Klemm SL, Shipony Z, Greenleaf WJ. Chromatin accessibility and the regulatory epigenome. Nat Rev Genet. 2019;20:207–20. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-018-0089-8) [1038/s41576-018-0089-8.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-018-0089-8)
- Koh FM, Lizama CO, Wong P, Hawkins JS, Zovein AC, Ramalho-Santos M. Emergence of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells involves a Chd1 dependent increase in total nascent transcription. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015;112:E1734–1743.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1424850112>.
- Kokavec J, Zikmund T, Savvulidi F, Kulvait V, Edelmann W, Skoultchi AI, Stopka T. The ISWI ATPase Smarca5 (Snf2h) is required for proliferation and diferentiation of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells. Stem Cells. 2017;35:1614–23.<https://doi.org/10.1002/stem.2604>.
- Kouzarides T. Chromatin modifcations and their function. Cell. 2007;128:693– 705. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.02.005.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.02.005)
- Krasteva V, Buscarlet M, Diaz-Tellez A, Bernard MA, Crabtree GR, Lessard JA. The BAF53a subunit of SWI/SNF-like BAF complexes is essential for hemopoietic stem cell function. Blood. 2012;120:4720–32. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2012-04-427047) [org/10.1182/blood-2012-04-427047](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2012-04-427047).
- Krasteva V, Crabtree GR, Lessard JA. The BAF45a/PHF10 subunit of SWI/SNF-like chromatin remodeling complexes is essential for hematopoietic stem cell maintenance. Exp Hematol. 2017;48:58–e7115. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exphem.2016.11.008) [1016/j.exphem.2016.11.008.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exphem.2016.11.008)
- Lai WKM, Pugh BF. Understanding nucleosome dynamics and their links to gene expression and DNA replication. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2017;18:548–62. [https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2017.47.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2017.47)
- Lans H, Marteijn JA, Vermeulen W. ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling in the DNA-damage response. Epigenetics Chromatin. 2012;5: 4. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-8935-5-4) doi.org/10.1186/1756-8935-5-4.
- Lee H, Dai F, Zhuang L, Xiao ZD, Kim J, Zhang Y, Ma L, You MJ, Wang Z, Gan B. BAF180 regulates cellular senescence and hematopoietic stem cell homeostasis through p21. Oncotarget. 2016;7:19134–46. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.8102) [org/10.18632/oncotarget.8102.](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.8102)
- Lessard J, Wu JI, Ranish JA, Wan M, Winslow MM, Staahl BT, Wu H, Aebersold R, Graef IA, Crabtree GR. An essential switch in subunit composition of a chromatin remodeling complex during neural development. Neuron. 2007;55:201–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2007.06.019>.
- Li L, Clevers H. Coexistence of quiescent and active adult stem cells in mammals. Science. 2010;327:542–5. [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.11807](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1180794) [94.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1180794)
- Li B, Carey M, Workman JL. The role of chromatin during transcription. Cell. 2007;128:707–19.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.01.015>.
- Li Z, Cai X, Cai CL, Wang J, Zhang W, Petersen BE, Yang FC, Xu M. Deletion of Tet2 in mice leads to dysregulated hematopoietic stem cells and subsequent development of myeloid malignancies. Blood. 2011;118:4509–18. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2010-12-325241>.
- Liang X, Shan S, Pan L, Zhao J, Ranjan A, Wang F, Zhang Z, Huang Y, Feng H, Wei D, et al. Structural basis of H2A.Z recognition by SRCAP chromatinremodeling subunit YL1. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2016;23:317–23. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.3190) [doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.3190.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.3190)
- Liu C, Teng Z-Q, Santistevan NJ, Szulwach KE, Guo W, Jin P, Zhao X. Epigenetic regulation of miR-184 by MBD1 governs neural stem cell proliferation and diferentiation. Cell Stem Cell. 2010;6:433–44. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2010.02.017) [1016/j.stem.2010.02.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2010.02.017).
- Liu L, Wan X, Zhou P, Zhou X, Zhang W, Hui X, Yuan X, Ding X, Zhu R, Meng G, et al. The chromatin remodeling subunit Baf200 promotes normal hematopoiesis and inhibits leukemogenesis. J Hematol Oncol. 2018;11:27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-018-0567-7>.
- Liu X, Dai SK, Liu PP, Liu CM. Arid1a regulates neural stem/progenitor cell proliferation and diferentiation during cortical development. Cell Prolif. 2021;54: e13124.<https://doi.org/10.1111/cpr.13124>.
- Liu H, Li P, Zhang S, Xiang J, Yang R, Liu J, Shafquzzaman M, Biswas S, Wei Z, Zhang Z, et al. Prrx1 marks stem cells for bone, white adipose tissue and dermis in adult mice. Nat Genet. 2022;54:1946–58. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-022-01227-4) [1038/s41588-022-01227-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-022-01227-4).
- Liu K, Meng X, Liu Z, Tang M, Lv Z, Huang X, Jin H, Han X, Liu X, Pu W, et al. Tracing the origin of alveolar stem cells in lung repair and regeneration. Cell. 2024;187:2428–e24452420. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2024.03.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2024.03.010) [010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2024.03.010).
- Lopez-Garcia C, Klein AM, Simons BD, Winton DJ. Intestinal stem cell replacement follows a pattern of neutral drift. Science. 2010;330:822–5. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1196236) doi.org/10.1126/science.1196236.
- Lucignani G, Ottobrini L, Martelli C, et al. Molecular imaging of cell-mediated cancer immunotherapy. Trends Biotechnol. 2006;24:410–8. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2006.07.003) [org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2006.07.003.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2006.07.003)
- Luger K, Mäder AW, Richmond RK, Sargent DF, Richmond TJ. Crystal structure of the nucleosome core particle at 2.8 a resolution. Nature. 1997;389:251–60. [https://doi.org/10.1038/38444.](https://doi.org/10.1038/38444)
- Luk E, Ranjan A, Fitzgerald PC, Mizuguchi G, Huang Y, Wei D, Wu C. Stepwise histone replacement by SWR1 requires dual activation with histone H2A.Z and canonical nucleosome. Cell. 2010;143:725–36. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.10.019) [org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.10.019.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.10.019)
- Madan V, Shyamsunder P, Dakle P, Woon TW, Han L, Cao Z, Nordin HBM, Jizhong S, Shuizhou Y, Hossain MZ, et al. Dissecting the role of SWI/ SNF component ARID1B in steady-state hematopoiesis. Blood Adv. 2023;7:6553–66. <https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2023009946>.
- Maeder ML, Gersbach CA. Genome-editing technologies for gene and cell therapy. Mol Ther. 2016;24:430–46.<https://doi.org/10.1038/mt.2016.10>.
- Malone HA, Roberts CWM. Chromatin remodellers as therapeutic targets. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2024;23:661–81. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-024-00978-5) [s41573-024-00978-5.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-024-00978-5)
- Mardaryev AN, Gdula MR, Yarker JL, Emelianov VU, Poterlowicz K, Sharov AA, Sharova TY, Scarpa JA, Joffe B, Solovei I, et al. p63 and Brg1 control developmentally regulated higher-order chromatin remodelling at the

epidermal diferentiation complex locus in epidermal progenitor cells. Development. 2014;141:101–11. [https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.103200.](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.103200)

- Marfella CG, Ohkawa Y, Coles AH, Garlick DS, Jones SN, Imbalzano AN. Mutation of the SNF2 family member Chd2 afects mouse development and survival. J Cell Physiol. 2006;209:162–71. [https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.20718) [20718](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.20718).
- Margiana R, Markov A, Zekiy AO, Hamza MU, Al-Dabbagh KA, Al-Zubaidi SH, Hameed NM, Ahmad I, Sivaraman R, Kzar HH, et al. Clinical application of mesenchymal stem cell in regenerative medicine: a narrative review. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2022;13:366. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13287-022-03054-0) [s13287-022-03054-0.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13287-022-03054-0)
- Marie C, Clavairoly A, Frah M, Hmidan H, Yan J, Zhao C, Van Steenwinckel J, Daveau R, Zalc B, Hassan B, et al. Oligodendrocyte precursor survival and diferentiation requires chromatin remodeling by Chd7 and Chd8. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018;115:E8246–8255. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1802620115) [1073/pnas.1802620115.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1802620115)
- Martire S, Banaszynski LA. The roles of histone variants in fne-tuning chromatin organization and function. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2020;21:522–41. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-020-0262-8>.
- Mashtalir N, Suzuki H, Farrell DP, Sankar A, Luo J, Filipovski M, D'Avino AR, St Pierre R, Valencia AM, Onikubo T, et al. A structural model of the Endogenous Human BAF Complex informs Disease mechanisms. Cell. 2020;183:802–e817824. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.09.051>.
- Matsumoto S, Banine F, Struve J, Xing R, Adams C, Liu Y, Metzger D, Chambon P, Rao MS, Sherman LS. Brg1 is required for murine neural stem cell maintenance and gliogenesis. Dev Biol. 2006;289:372–83. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.10.044) [org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.10.044.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.10.044)
- Matsumoto S, Banine F, Feistel K, Foster S, Xing R, Struve J, Sherman LS. Brg1 directly regulates Olig2 transcription and is required for oligodendrocyte progenitor cell specifcation. Dev Biol. 2016;413:173–87. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2016.04.003) doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2016.04.003.
- Mauro A. Satellite cell of skeletal muscle fbers. J Biophys Biochem Cytol. 1961;9:493–5.<https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.9.2.493>.
- Méndez-Ferrer S, Michurina TV, Ferraro F, Mazloom AR, MacArthur BD, Lira SA, Scadden DT, Ma'ayan A, Enikolopov GN, Frenette PS. Mesenchymal and haematopoietic stem cells form a unique bone marrow niche. Nature. 2010;466:829–34. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09262>.
- Micucci JA, Layman WS, Hurd EA, Sperry ED, Frank SF, Durham MA, Swiderski DL, Skidmore JM, Scacheri PC, Raphael Y, et al. CHD7 and retinoic acid signaling cooperate to regulate neural stem cell and inner ear development in mouse models of CHARGE syndrome. Hum Mol Genet. 2014;23:434–48. [https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddt435.](https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddt435)
- Mizuguchi G, Shen X, Landry J, Wu WH, Sen S, Wu C. ATP-driven exchange of histone H2AZ variant catalyzed by SWR1 chromatin remodeling complex. Science. 2004;303:343–8.<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1090701>.
- Morrill SA, Amon A. Why haploinsufficiency persists. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2019;116:11866–71. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1900437116.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1900437116)
- Morrison AJ, Shen X. Chromatin remodelling beyond transcription: the INO80 and SWR1 complexes. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2009;10:373–84. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2693) [doi.org/10.1038/nrm2693.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2693)
- Muguruma Y, Yahata T, Miyatake H, et al. Reconstitution of the functional human hematopoietic microenvironment derived from human mesenchymal stem cells in the murine bone marrow compartment. Blood. 2006;107:1878–87. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2005-06-2211>.
- Naidu SR, Capitano M, Ropa J, Cooper S, Huang X, Broxmeyer HE. Chromatin remodeling subunit BRM and valine regulate hematopoietic stem/progenitor cell function and self-renewal via intrinsic and extrinsic efects. Leukemia. 2022;36:821–33. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41375-021-01426-8) [s41375-021-01426-8.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41375-021-01426-8)
- Napolitano MA, et al. Brg1 chromatin remodeling factor is involved in cell growth arrest, apoptosis and senescence of rat mesenchymal stem cells. J Cell Sci. 2007;120:2904–11. [https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.004002.](https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.004002)
- Nguyen H, Kerimoglu C, Pirouz M, Pham L, Kiszka KA, Sokpor G, Sakib MS, Rosenbusch J, Teichmann U, Seong RH, et al. Epigenetic regulation by BAF complexes limits neural stem cell proliferation by suppressing wnt signaling in late Embryonic Development. Stem Cell Rep. 2018;10:1734–50.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2018.04.014>.
- Ninkovic J, Steiner-Mezzadri A, Jawerka M, Akinci U, Masserdotti G, Petricca S, Fischer J, von Holst A, Beckers J, Lie CD, et al. The BAF complex interacts with Pax6 in adult neural progenitors to establish a neurogenic

cross-regulatory transcriptional network. Cell Stem Cell. 2013;13:403– 18. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2013.07.002.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2013.07.002)

- Nita A, Muto Y, Katayama Y, Matsumoto A, Nishiyama M, Nakayama KI. The autism-related protein CHD8 contributes to the stemness and differentiation of mouse hematopoietic stem cells. Cell Rep. 2021;34: 108688. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2021.108688>.
- Numata A, Kwok HS, Zhou QL, Li J, Tirado-Magallanes R, Angarica VE, Hannah R, Park J, Wang CQ, Krishnan V, et al. Lysine acetyltransferase Tip60 is required for hematopoietic stem cell maintenance. Blood. 2020;136:1735–47. [https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2019001279.](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2019001279)
- Ocampo J, Chereji RV, Eriksson PR, Clark DJ. The ISW1 and CHD1 ATP-dependent chromatin remodelers compete to set nucleosome spacing in vivo. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016;44:4625–35. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw068) [gkw068](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw068).
- Ortuño MJ, et al. p38 regulates expression of osteoblast-specifc genes by phosphorylation of osterix. J Biol Chem. 2010;285:31985–94. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m110.123612) [org/10.1074/jbc.m110.123612.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m110.123612)
- Patel SH, Christodoulou C, Weinreb C, Yu Q, da Rocha EL, Pepe-Mooney BJ, Bowling S, Li L, Osorio FG, Daley GQ, et al. Lifelong multilineage contribution by embryonic-born blood progenitors. Nature. 2022;606:747–53. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04804-z>.
- Paton JA, Nottebohm FN. Neurons generated in the adult brain are recruited into functional circuits. Science. 1984;225:1046–8. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.6474166) [1126/science.6474166.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.6474166)
- Petrik D, Latchney SE, Masiulis I, Yun S, Zhang Z, Wu JI, Eisch AJ. Chromatin remodeling factor Brg1 supports the early maintenance and late responsiveness of nestin-lineage adult neural stem and progenitor cells. Stem Cells. 2015;33:3655–65. [https://doi.org/10.1002/stem.2215.](https://doi.org/10.1002/stem.2215)
- Post Y, Clevers H. Defning adult stem cell function at its Simplest: the ability to Replace Lost cells through mitosis. Cell Stem Cell. 2019;25:174– 83. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2019.07.002.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2019.07.002)
- Rando TA. The immortal strand hypothesis: segregation and reconstruction. Cell. 2007;129:1239–43. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.06.019.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.06.019)
- Rice AM, McLysaght A. Dosage sensitivity is a major determinant of human copy number variant pathogenicity. Nat Commun. 2017;8: 14366. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14366>.
- Sakamaki A, Katsuragi Y, Otsuka K, Tomita M, Obata M, Iwasaki T, Abe M, Sato T, Ochiai M, Sakuraba Y, et al. Bcl11b SWI/SNF-complex subunit modulates intestinal adenoma and regeneration after γ-irradiation through Wnt/βcatenin pathway. Carcinogenesis. 2015;36:622–31. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgv044) [1093/carcin/bgv044.](https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgv044)
- Sanz AB, García R, Rodríguez-Pea JM, Nombela C, Arroyo J. Cooperation between SAGA and SWI/SNF complexes is required for efficient transcriptional responses regulated by the yeast MAPK Slt2. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016;44:7159–72. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw324>.
- Sato T, Vries RG, Snippert HJ, van de Wetering M, Barker N, Stange DE, van Es JH, Abo A, Kujala P, Peters PJ, et al. Single Lgr5 stem cells build crypt-villus structures in vitro without a mesenchymal niche. Nature. 2009;459:262–5. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07935>.
- Shah AA, Khan FA. Types and classifcation of stem cells. In: Khan FA, editor. Advances in application of stem cells: from bench to clinics. 1st ed. Totowa, NJ, USA: Humana; 2021. pp. 25–49. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-78101-9) [978-3-030-78101-9.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-78101-9)
- Sheaffer KL, Kim R, Aoki R, et al. DNA methylation is required for the control of stem cell diferentiation in the small intestine. Genes Dev. 2014;28:652– 64. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.230318.113>.
- Shinin V, Gayraud-Morel B, Gomès D, Tajbakhsh S. Asymmetric division and cosegregation of template DNA strands in adult muscle satellite cells. Nat Cell Biol. 2006;8:677–87. [https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1425.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1425)
- Simon R, Brylka H, Schwegler H, Venkataramanappa S, Andratschke J, Wiegrefe C, Liu P, Fuchs E, Jenkins NA, Copeland NG, et al. A dual function of Bcl11b/Ctip2 in hippocampal neurogenesis. Embo j. 2012;31:2922–36. [https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2012.142.](https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2012.142)
- Simon R, Baumann L, Fischer J, Seigfried FA, De Bruyckere E, Liu P, Jenkins NA, Copeland NG, Schwegler H, Britsch S. Structure-function integrity of the adult hippocampus depends on the transcription factor Bcl11b/Ctip2. Genes Brain Behav. 2016;15:405–19. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gbb.12287>.
- Singh AK, Allington G, Viviano S, McGee S, Kiziltug E, Ma S, Zhao S, Mekbib KY, Shohf JP, Duy PQ, et al. A novel SMARCC1 BAFopathy implicates neural progenitor epigenetic dysregulation in human hydrocephalus. Brain. 2024;147:1553–70.<https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awad405>.
- Sinha S, Biswas M, Chatterjee SS, Kumar S, Sengupta A. Pbrm1 steers mesenchymal stromal cell osteolineage diferentiation by integrating PBAF-Dependent chromatin remodeling and BMP/TGF-β signaling. Cell Rep. 2020;31: 107570. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107570.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107570)
- Smith GH. Label-retaining epithelial cells in mouse mammary gland divide asymmetrically and retain their template DNA strands. Development. 2005;132:681–7. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.01609>.
- Su L, Zhang M, Ji F, Zhao J, Wang Y, Wang W, Zhang S, Ma H, Wang Y, Jiao J. Microglia homeostasis mediated by epigenetic ARID1A regulates neural progenitor cells response and leads to autism-like behaviors. Mol Psychiatry. 2024;29:1595–609. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-022-01703-7) [s41380-022-01703-7.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-022-01703-7)
- Sun S, Jiang N, Jiang Y, He Q, He H, Wang X, Yang L, Li R, Liu F, Lin X, et al. Chromatin remodeler Znhit1 preserves hematopoietic stem cell quiescence by determining the accessibility of distal enhancers. Leukemia. 2020;34:3348–58.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41375-020-0988-5>.
- Tajbakhsh S, Rocheteau P, Le Roux I. Asymmetric cell divisions and asymmetric cell fates. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2009;25:671–99. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.24.110707.175415) [1146/annurev.cellbio.24.110707.175415](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.24.110707.175415).
- Takada Y, Fukuda A, Chiba T, Seno H. Brg1 plays an essential role in development and homeostasis of the duodenum through regulation of notch signaling. Development. 2016;143:3532–9. [https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.141549) [141549](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.141549).
- Takahashi K, Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fbroblast cultures by defned factors. Cell. 2006;126:663–76.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.07.024>.
- Takayama N, Murison A, Takayanagi SI, Arlidge C, Zhou S, Garcia-Prat L, Chan-Seng-Yue M, Zandi S, Gan OI, Boutzen H, et al. The transition from quiescent to activated states in human hematopoietic stem cells is governed by dynamic 3D genome reorganization. Cell Stem Cell. 2021;28:488– e501410. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2020.11.001>.
- Takeda N, Jain R, LeBoeuf MR, Wang Q, Lu MM, Epstein JA. Interconversion between intestinal stem cell populations in distinct niches. Science. 2011;334:1420–4.<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1213214>.
- Tarlow BD, Pelz C, Naugler WE, Wakefeld L, Wilson EM, Finegold MJ, Grompe M. Bipotential adult liver progenitors are derived from chronically injured mature hepatocytes. Cell Stem Cell. 2014;15:605–18. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2014.09.008) [org/10.1016/j.stem.2014.09.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2014.09.008).
- Thomas ED, Lochte HL Jr, Lu WC, Ferrebee JW. Intravenous infusion of bone marrow in patients receiving radiation and chemotherapy. N Engl J Med. 1957;257:491–6.<https://doi.org/10.1056/nejm195709122571102>.
- Tsompana M, Buck MJ. Chromatin accessibility: a window into the genome. Epigenetics Chromatin. 2014;7: 33. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-8935-7-33) [1756-8935-7-33](https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-8935-7-33).
- Tu J, Liu X, Jia H, Reilly J, Yu S, Cai C, Liu F, Lv Y, Huang Y, Lu Z, et al. The chromatin remodeler Brg1 is required for formation and maintenance of hematopoietic stem cells. Faseb j. 2020;34:11997–2008. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.201903168rr) [10.1096/f.201903168rr](https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.201903168rr).
- Tu Z, Wang C, Davis AK, Hu M, Zhao C, Xin M, Lu QR, Zheng Y. The chromatin remodeler CHD8 governs hematopoietic stem/progenitor survival by regulating ATM-mediated P53 protein stability. Blood. 2021;138:221– 33. [https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2020009997.](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2020009997)
- Tuoc T, Dere E, Radyushkin K, Pham L, Nguyen H, Tonchev AB, Sun G, Ronnenberg A, Shi Y, Staiger JF, et al. Ablation of BAF170 in developing and postnatal dentate Gyrus afects neural stem cell proliferation, diferentiation, and Learning. Mol Neurobiol. 2017;54:4618–35. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-016-9948-5) [10.1007/s12035-016-9948-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-016-9948-5).
- Turkova T, Kokavec J, Zikmund T, Dibus N, Pimkova K, Nemec D, Holeckova M, Ruskova L, Sedlacek R, Cermak L, et al. Diferential requirements for Smarca5 expression during hematopoietic stem cell commitment. Commun Biol. 2024;7:244. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-024-05917-z.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-024-05917-z)
- Udugama M, Sabri A, Bartholomew B. The INO80 ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complex is a nucleosome spacing factor. Mol Cell Biol. 2011;31:662–73. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mcb.01035-10>.
- Urbán N, Blomfeld IM, Guillemot F. Quiescence of adult mammalian neural stem cells: a highly regulated Rest. Neuron. 2019;104:834–48. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.09.026) doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.09.026.
- Valencia AM, et al. Recurrent SMARCB1 mutations reveal a nucleosome acidic patch interaction site that potentiates mSWI/SNF complex chromatin remodeling. Cell. 2019;179:1342–e135623. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.10.044) [2019.10.044.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.10.044)
- Velankar SS, Soultanas P, Dillingham MS, Subramanya HS, Wigley DB. Crystal structures of complexes of PcrA DNA helicase with a DNA substrate indicate an inchworm mechanism. Cell. 1999;97:75–84. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80716-3) [10.1016/s0092-8674\(00\)80716-3.](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80716-3)
- Venkatesh S, Workman JL. Histone exchange, chromatin structure and the regulation of transcription. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2015;16:178–89. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3941) [doi.org/10.1038/nrm3941.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3941)
- Vicent GP, Nacht AS, Font-Mateu J, Castellano G, Gaveglia L, Ballaré C, Beato M. Four enzymes cooperate to displace histone H1 during the frst minute of hormonal gene activation. Genes Dev. 2011;25:845–62. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.621811) [org/10.1101/gad.621811.](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.621811)
- Wang Z. Assessing tumorigenicity in stem cell-derived therapeutic products: a critical step in safeguarding regenerative medicine. Bioeng (Basel). 2023;10: 857. [https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering10070857.](https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering10070857)
- Wang D, Wang J, Bai L, Pan H, Feng H, Clevers H, Zeng YA. Long-term expansion of pancreatic islet organoids from resident Procr (+) progenitors. Cell. 2020;180:1198–e12111119. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.048) 048
- Wang L, Yu J, Yu Z, Wang Q, Li W, Ren Y, Chen Z, He S, Xu Y. Structure of nucleosome-bound human PBAF complex. Nat Commun. 2022;13:7644. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-34859-5.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-34859-5)
- Watanabe S, Peterson CL. The INO80 family of chromatin-remodeling enzymes: regulators of histone variant dynamics. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol. 2010;75:35–42. [https://doi.org/10.1101/sqb.2010.75.](https://doi.org/10.1101/sqb.2010.75.063) [063](https://doi.org/10.1101/sqb.2010.75.063).
- Wei Y, Wang YG, Jia Y, Li L, Yoon J, Zhang S, Wang Z, Zhang Y, Zhu M, Sharma T, et al. Liver homeostasis is maintained by midlobular zone 2 hepatocytes. Science. 2021;371: eabb1625. [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb1625) [abb1625.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb1625)
- Wilson A, Laurenti E, Oser G, van der Wath RC, Blanco-Bose W, Jaworski M, Ofner S, Dunant CF, Eshkind L, Bockamp E, et al. Hematopoietic stem cells reversibly switch from dormancy to self-renewal during homeostasis and repair. Cell. 2008;135:1118–29. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2008.10.048) [2008.10.048.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2008.10.048)
- Wischhof L, Lee HM, Tutas J, Overkott C, Tedt E, Stork M, Peitz M, Brüstle O, Ulas T, Händler K, et al. BCL7A-containing SWI/SNF/BAF complexes modulate mitochondrial bioenergetics during neural progenitor differentiation. Embo j. 2022;41: e110595. [https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.](https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2022110595) [2022110595.](https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2022110595)
- Xiao M, Kondo S, Nomura M, Kato S, Nishimura K, Zang W, Zhang Y, Akashi T, Viny A, Shigehiro T, et al. BRD9 determines the cell fate of hematopoietic stem cells by regulating chromatin state. Nat Commun. 2023;14:8372. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-44081-6.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-44081-6)
- Xin L, Lukacs RU, Lawson DA, Cheng D, Witte ON. Self-renewal and multilineage diferentiation in vitro from murine prostate stem cells. Stem Cells. 2007;25:2760–9. <https://doi.org/10.1634/stemcells.2007-0355>.
- Xiong Y, Li W, Shang C, Chen RM, Han P, Yang J, Stankunas K, Wu B, Pan M, Zhou B, et al. Brg1 governs a positive feedback circuit in the hair follicle for tissue regeneration and repair. Dev Cell. 2013;25:169–81. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2013.03.015) [org/10.1016/j.devcel.2013.03.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2013.03.015).
- Xu B, Cai L, Butler JM, Chen D, Lu X, Allison DF, Lu R, Rafi S, Parker JS, Zheng D, et al. The chromatin remodeler BPTF activates a stemness gene-expression program essential for the maintenance of adult hematopoietic stem cells. Stem Cell Rep. 2018;10:675–83. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2018.01.020) [stemcr.2018.01.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2018.01.020).
- Yadav T, Whitehouse I. Replication-coupled nucleosome assembly and positioning by ATP-Dependent chromatin-remodeling enzymes. Cell Rep. 2016;15:715–23. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.03.059.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.03.059)
- Ye B, Liu B, Yang L, Huang G, Hao L, Xia P, Wang S, Du Y, Qin X, Zhu P, et al. Suppression of SRCAP chromatin remodelling complex and restriction of lymphoid lineage commitment by Pcid2. Nat Commun. 2017;8:1518. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01788-7>.
- Ye B, Yang L, Qian G, Liu B, Zhu X, Zhu P, Ma J, Xie W, Li H, Lu T, et al. The chromatin remodeler SRCAP promotes self-renewal of intestinal stem cells. Embo j. 2020;39: e103786. [https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2019103786.](https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2019103786)
- Yoshida T, Hazan I, Zhang J, Ng SY, Naito T, Snippert HJ, Heller EJ, Qi X, Lawton LN, Williams CJ, et al. The role of the chromatin remodeler Mi-2beta in hematopoietic stem cell self-renewal and multilineage diferentiation. Genes Dev. 2008;22:1174–89. [https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1642808.](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1642808)
- Yu Y, Chen Y, Kim B, Wang H, Zhao C, He X, Liu L, Liu W, Wu LM, Mao M, et al. Olig2 targets chromatin remodelers to enhancers to initiate

oligodendrocyte diferentiation. Cell. 2013;152:248–61. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.12.006) [10.1016/j.cell.2012.12.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.12.006) .

- Yuan J, Chen K, Zhang W, Chen Z. Structure of human chromatin-remodelling PBAF complex bound to a nucleosome. Nature. 2022;605:166– 71. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04658-5> .
- Zeng X, Lin X, Hou SX. The osa-containing SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling complex regulates stem cell commitment in the adult Drosophila intestine. Development. 2013;140:3532–40. [https://doi.org/10.1242/](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.096891) [dev.096891](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.096891) .
- Zhao B, Chen Y, Jiang N, Yang L, Sun S, Zhang Y, Wen Z, Ray L, Liu H, Hou G, et al. Znhit1 controls intestinal stem cell maintenance by regulating H2A.Z incorporation. Nat Commun. 2019;10:1071. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09060-w) [1038/s41467-019-09060-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09060-w) .
- Zhou C, Zou J, Zou S, Li X. INO80 is required for osteogenic diferentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells. Sci Rep. 2016;6: 35924. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep35924) [10.1038/srep35924](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep35924) .